## PUBLIC SCHOOL RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES REGULAR MEETING
June 21, 2021

## I. ROLL CALL AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF A QUORUM

The June meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis (PSRSSTL) was called to order at around $4: 34$ p.m. on Monday, June 21, 2021. The meeting was conducted by video conference through Zoom and a Livestream on YouTube. Louis Cross, Chairman of the Board of Trustees, was the presiding officer.

Roll Call was taken, and Angela Banks, Christina Bennett, Louis Cross, Donna Jones, Yvette Levy, Bobbie Richardson, and Albert Sanders were present. The Board of Trustees had a quorum at the meeting. Trustee Sheila Goodwin joined the meeting in progress. Trustees Joseph Clark and Justin Stein were absent.

Executive Director, Susan Kane, Attorney Representative, Matt Gierse, Actuary Representatives Michael Ribble and Matthew Staback were also in attendance.

## II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM LAST MEETING

Yvette Richardson made a motion, seconded by Bobbie Richardson, to approve the minutes of the Board of Trustees Meeting from April 19, 2021. By voice vote, motion carried.

## III. READING OF COMMUNICATIONS TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

None

## IV. PRESENTATIONS BY INTERESTED PARTIES

None

## V. CONSENT AGENDA

Yvette Levy made a motion, seconded by Bobbie Richardson, to approve the Retirements and Benefits of May 2021. By voice vote, motion carried.

Angie Banks made a motion, seconded by Bobbie Richardson, to approve the Refunds and Bills of April and May 2021. By voice vote, motion carried.

## VI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

The Executive Director mentioned that the PSRS office currently remains closed to the public but that some initial preparations have been made regarding reopening. This includes getting a quote on plexiglass installation and beginning to review appropriate guidelines. Staff has been meeting with some individuals on a very limited basis. The Chairman asked if there would be any change to these plans if the vaccine was approved officially and not as an Emergency Authorization. Attorney Representative Matthew Gierse indicated that all applicable guidelines, including ADA or CDC would be followed in development of a re-opening plan.

## VII. REPORT OF THE CHAIRPERSON

The Chairman announced that Trustee Regina Fowler had resigned from the PSRS Board of Trustees. The Attorney Representative suggested that any questions or discussion on this topic should be handled during the Report of the Attorney in closed session. Chairman Cross also mentioned that he had received the latest newsletter. He asked if other Trustees had received their copies and if anyone had any feedback. The Executive Director indicated that there were now two versions of the newsletter, Active and Retiree, with slightly different in each. There was discussion if the Board would receive both versions and the Executive Director indicated that she would make sure that both versions of the newsletter were sent to the Trustees.

## VIII. REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The Executive Director began by presenting a report regarding Overpayments Made to Deceased Retirees. This chart will be updated and presented at each meeting. There was very little change since the report presented at the April Regular Board of Trustees Meeting.

The Executive Director then announced that the Trustee Workshop presented by the National Council on Teacher Retirement (NCTR) would be held virtually in July and asked Trustees to let her know if they were interested in attending. The October Conference would also be held virtually.

The next item was discussion of the Fiduciary coverage, which was expiring on July 19, 2021. Gallagher had indicated that the carriers wanted two years of Financial Reports before making any decision about coverage for PSRS. At December's Regular Board meeting, the Trustees had approved an extension of the fiduciary coverage until July so that the 2020 Report could be completed.

The Executive Director then reviewed the results from Gallagher's marketing. Responses included a renewal from Traveler's of the current coverage at a $4.5 \%$ increase along with an option to introduce a $\$ 50,000$ retention/deductible to the current coverage at a lower premium. Proposals were also submitted by Hudson Insurance Company. Federal Insurance Company proposed adding excess fiduciary coverage of $\$ 5$ Million dollars to the current $\$ 10$ Million Dollars of coverage.

Gallagher also obtained quotes on Director's and Officers Liability coverage from RSUI Indemnity and Hudson Insurance Company. There was discussion about the D\&O coverage and if it provided anything additional for the Trustees that was not included in the Fiduciary policy. Trustee Banks asked if there had been any prior fiduciary claims. In response, Attorney Representative suggested that this item be included in the Report of the Attorney so it could be discussed in closed session.

The Executive Director also mentioned that follow-up information on Staff Development Proposals were included in Tab IV but suggested that be discussed in the Committee Reports so the Actuary could present his report.

## IX. REPORT OF THE INVESTMENT CONSULTANT

None

## X. REPORT OF THE ACTUARY

The Executive Director introduced Michael Ribble and Matthew Staback from Buck to discuss the 2021 Actuarial Valuation Report. The Actuary presented findings on the system's member census and demographics, the system's assets, liabilities and funding ratios; and the annual required (ARC) for the St. Louis Public Schools Board of Education, PSRSSTL and the Charter Schools. During his presentation, the Actuary indicated that an Experience Study will be conducted during the summer and presented to the Board at the Regular Board Meeting in October. At this time, there will be several assumptions for the Trustees to review for use in future Valuation Reports. After all the Trustee questions were answered, the Actuary concluded his presentation.

Sheila Goodwin made a motion to accept 2021 Actuarial Valuation Report as presented by the Actuary. Albert Sanders seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion carried with seven yes votes.

## XI. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

The Chairman asked for reports from the Committees.

## Benefits Committee

Co-Chair Bobbie Richardson announced that the Dental and Vision RFP was sent out earlier this month. The deadline date for responses is June 25, 2021. On July 9, 2021, PSRS Staff will meet with Gallagher, the Benefits Consultant, to review the results.

## Trustee Business Committee

Co-Chair Goodwin reported that she wanted to provide a training for the Trustees on completing travel voucher forms. Due to the pandemic, there will likely not be travel for conferences again this year. The NCTR Trustee Workshop and Annual Conference will both be held virtually. She will schedule the training later this year.

## Investment Committee

Investment Committee Co-Chair Christina Bennett reported that the Committee has been very busy reviewing private equity in its totality and several new investments have been recommended. CoChair Albert Sanders then presented the three recommendations from the Investment Committee. Co-Chair Bennet gave a brief overview of the Asia Alternatives presentation and their approach to focusing on in country investments in China.

Co-Chair Sanders made a motion to accept Asia Alternatives Capital Partners Fund VI private equity investment in the amount of $\$ 10$ Million Dollars as recommended by the Investment Committee at a meeting on June 17, 2021. Christina Bennett seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion carried with seven yes votes.
Investment Committee Co-Chairman Albert Sanders then made a motion to accept GCM Grosvenor Advance Fund Private Equity Investment in the amount of $\$ 12$ Million Dollars as recommended by the Investment Committee at a meeting on June 17, 2021. Co-Chair Bennett commented that Grosvenor was a current money manager, a minority owned firm, and that their team was very diverse and the investment focused on funding women and minority businesses.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion carried with seven yes votes.
Investment Co-Chairman Albert Sanders made another motion to accept Mesirow Financial Private Equity Fund VIII Private Equity Investment in the amount of $\$ 12$ Million Dollars as recommended by the Investment Committee at a meeting on June 17, 2021. Louis Cross seconded the motion. CoChair Bennett commented that Mesirow is not a minority owned firm but that PSRS has been invested successfully with Mesirow previously.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion carried with seven yes votes.

## Legislative, Rules and Regulation Committee

In Chairman Stein's absence, Board Chairman Cross reported that he traveled to Jefferson City in May to meet with legislators along with the Executive Director, Trustees Fowler and Stein and a representative from Hartnett Reyes-Jones. It was a productive day with many contacts made but was just the beginning of the process to begin working on legislation to change the current contribution amounts mandated by State Statute.

## Personnel, Professional Services Contract

Co-Chair Levy reported that a meeting was held on June 3 and that the minutes were included in the packet. Further details would be discussed during the Report of the Attorney in closed session.

## XII. NEW BUSINESS

There was no new business.

## XIII. REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY

The Attorney did have a report and some follow-up to earlier items during the meeting but suggested that it be conducted in closed session. Albert Sanders made the motion to close the meeting, and that all records and votes, to the extent permitted by law, pertaining to and/or resulting from this closed meeting be closed under R.S.Mo. §§ 610.021 (1) for the purpose of having a confidential or privileged communication with the Attorney. Sheila Goodwin seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion passed with seven yes votes. The meeting continued in closed session.
During the closed sessions, the Trustees discussed and voted on several motions.
Sheila Goodwin made a motion, seconded by Yvette Levy, to accept the Fiduciary Liability Policy renewal with Traveler's with a $4.5 \%$ increase but no changes in terms, limits, or retention levels.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | No | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion carried with six yes votes.
Christina Bennett made a motion, seconded by Albert Sanders, to accept the Staff Development Training Proposal from Polished as recommended by the Executive Director.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |

The motion carried with seven yes votes.
Yvette Levy made a motion, which was seconded by Sheila Goodwin to accept the Document and Retention Policy, as adopted, and to include this policy in Appendix A of the Rules and Regulations.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

After the closed meeting discussion, Albert Sanders motioned to open the meeting pursuant to R. S. Mo. §610.021. The motion was seconded by Yvette Levy.

A roll call vote was taken.

| Angela Banks | Yes | Christina Bennett | Yes | Louis Cross | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Sheila Goodwin | Yes | Yvette Levy | Yes | Bobbie Richardson | Yes |
| Albert Sanders | Yes |  |  |  |  |

The motion passed with seven yes votes and the meeting reopened at about 7:00 p.m.
After returning from closed session, Trustee Bennett clarified her earlier comments that Grosvenor was a minority owned firm. While they have a diverse management team, they are not a minority owned fund. She apologized for her incorrect statement and wanted the record to reflect this correction. Trustee Goodwin also apologized for not making the last Investment Committee Meeting since she was out of the country and had been unable to connect to the meeting.

## XIV. ADJOURNMENT

Albert Sanders made a motion, seconded by Sheila Goodwin, to adjourn the meeting. By voice vote, motion carried, and the meeting adjourned at around 7:10 p.m.

Attachments:
Retirements \& Benefits: May 2021
Refunds \& Bills: April 2021 and May 2021

## APPLICATIONS FOR RETIREMENT

| NAME 1 | RETIREMENT |  | CREDITED | FINAL AVg | MONTHLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POSITION | DATE | TYPE | SERVICE | SALARY | BENEFIT |
| Sylvester Beals | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 19.3922 | \$41,306.66 | \$1,335.04 |
| Custodian |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andrea Boaz | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 27.2222 | \$77,537.68 | \$3,517.91 |
| Social Worker |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dianne Bonfili | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 12.4222 | \$31,718.72 | \$656.69 |
| Parent Educator |  |  |  |  |  |
| Henry Boyd | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 15.5907 | \$39,345.60 | \$1,022.38 |
| Custodian |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shelia Caradine | 4/1/2021 | Early | 8.5461 | \$38,563.81 | \$482.14 |
| Teacher |  |  |  |  |  |
| Valerie Cotton | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 23.8889 | \$38,945.68 | \$1,550.61 |
| ISS Monitor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruth Gregory | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 30.0000 | \$73,819.64 | \$3,690.98 |
| Teacher |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marcieta Reed | 4/1/2021 | Early | 16.3146 | \$68,241.13 | \$1,773.06 |
| Teacher |  |  |  |  |  |
| Marybeth Smith | 4/1/2021 | Normal | 20.4962 | \$73,239.17 | \$2,501.87 |
| Social Worker |  |  |  |  |  |


Distributions - May, 2021

| CHECK NUMBER | CHECK | LAST NAME | FIRST NAME/MI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROSS } \\ & (\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}) \end{aligned}$ | FEDERAL <br> TAXES W/H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NET } \\ & \text { PAY } \end{aligned}$ | STATUS A(ctive) R(etired) | REASON <br> D(eath) <br> $S$ (eparation) | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 077472 | 05/13/21 | BEASLEY | PERNELL K | 6,916.81 | 1,3833.36 | 5,533.45 | A | S |  |
| 077473 | 05/13/21 | BETTS | ADRIEL | 8,610.97 | 1,722.19 | 6,888.78 | A | S | SLPS LFL |
| 077474 | 05/13/21 | BRADDIX | DARIELLE | 4,313,06 | 862.61 | 3,450.45 | A | S |  |
| 077475 | 05/13/21 | BRYAN | NICHOLE | 1,278.14 | 255.63 | 1,022.51 | A | S |  |
| 077476 | 05/13/21 | DOYLE | EBONE | 5,017.96 | 1,003.59 | 4,014.37 | A | s |  |
| 077477 | 05/13/21 | FOLK | KAREN | 4,733.43 |  | 4,733.43 | A | S | AQS-CLA |
| 077478 | 05/13/21 | GARRETT | ARTHUR | 6,127.87 |  | 6,127,87 | A | S | CA |
| 077479 | 05/13/21 | GROWCOCK | DAVID | 19,286.67 |  | 19,286.67 | A | S |  |
| 077480 | 05/13/21 | GUADARRAMA | LINDSEY | 4,489.79 | 897.96 | 3,591.83 | A | S |  |
| 077481 | 05/13/21 | GRAVES-SMITH | RUBY T | 20,264.85 | 4,052.97 | 16,211.88 | A | S |  |
| 077482 | 05/13/21 | JONES | COURTNEY | 902.97 | 180.59 | 722.38 | A | S | LFL |
| 077483 | 05/13/21 | JOHNSON | LESLIE | 41,617.21 | 8,323.44 | 33,293.77 | A | S |  |
| 077484 | 05/13/21 | jACOBS | ARACELI | 8,041.44 | 1,608.29 | 6,433.15 | A | S | KIPP |
| 077485 | 05/13/21 | LONG | ANGELA | 8,000.00 |  | 8,000.00 | A | S |  |
| 077486 | 05/13/21 | LONG | ANGELA | +,737.44 | 947.49 | 3,789.95 | A | S |  |
| 077487 | 05/13/21 | MUENKS | Clara | $2,335.65$ |  | 2,335.65 | A | S |  |
| 077488 | 05/13/21 | RaNKINS | ASHLEY | 7,161.99 | 1,432.40 | 5,729.59 | A | S |  |
| 077489 | 05/13/21 | SYDNOR | SERRITA | 6.898 .57 | 1,379.71 | 5,518.86 | A | S |  |
| 077490 | 05/13/21 | STUTZMAN | RACHEL | 6,830.02 |  | 6,830.02 | A | s | CA |
| 077491 | 05/13/21 | SCHROEDER | LORI | 12,942.10 | 2,588.42 | $10,353.68$ | A | S | EAGLE SLPS |
| 077492 | 05/13/21 | SEALS | TERESA ANN | 24,713.67 | 4,942.73 | 19,770,94 | A | S |  |
| 077493 | 05/13/21 | WEATHERFORD | RachaEl | 12,872.02 |  | 12,872.02 | A | 5 |  |
| 077494 | 05/13/21 | WAGNER | DIONNE | 3,837.86 | 767.57 | 3,070.29 | A | S | LFL |
| 077495 | 05/13/21 | NORTHCUTT | PETER G | 5.885 .49 |  | 5,885.49 | A | s |  |
| 077496 | 05/13/21 | Darrough | MELINDA K | 15,919.62 | 3,183.92 | 12,735.70 | A | D | DEC: I DARROUGH |
| 077497 | 05/13/21 | AUBUCHON | CHRISTOPHER | 3,201.86 |  | 3,201.86 | A | D | DEC: KAUBUCHON |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 246,937.46 | 5 35,532.87 | \$ 211,404.59 |  |  |  |


| Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis Checks Written During the Month of April, 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payee | Ck. Number | Description | Amount |
| Date Paid April 5, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Ameren Missouri | 77370 | Electric Service | 1,854.07 |
| ACC Business | 77371 | Telephone Fiberoptics | 528.08 |
| FreedomVoice | 77372 | Telephone Service | 452.74 |
| Charter Communications | 77373 | Charter Internet and Voice | 204.96 |
| Republic Services \#346 | 77374 | Trash Pick-Up | 190.00 |
| Microtek Document Imaging Systems, Inc. | 77375 | Imaging Hosting for the Month of March 2021 | 423.60 |
| Clayton Parking | 77376 | April 2021 Parking - 2 Employees | 140.00 |
| Specialty Mailing | 77377 | Service - Insurance Letters | 555.66 |
| The Berwyn Group | 77378 | Online Adress Search November 2020 | 105.00 |
| Gregory F.X. Daly, Collector of Revenue | 77379 | City Earnigs Tax - First Quarter 2021 | 977.38 |
| BarnesCare | 77380 | Daryl Carter | 100.00 |
| Pensions \& Investments | 77381 | P \& I Subscriptions | 4,295.00 |
| CBRE-608844 | 77382 | Engineer Services | 682.50 |
| St. Louis Mat \& Linen Company | 77383 | Floor Mats | 138.00 |
| Board of Education St. Louis Benefits Trust | 77384 | Office Employees Insurance - Dental | 203.81 |
| Board of Education St. Louis Benefits Trust | 77385 | Office Employees Insurance - Vision | 12.78 |
| Board of Education St. Louis Benefits Trust | 77386 | Office Employees Insurance - Life | 173.60 |
| Date Paid April 9, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Office Payroll | ACH | Office Payroll | 11,097.87 |
| AXA Equitable | ACH | 457 Contributions | 1,741.00 |
| CBRE, Inc. | 77387 | VA Lease Renewal Commission | 4,339.86 |
| Date Paid April 20, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Absopure Water Company | 77388 | Water Cooler Service | 12.00 |
| Blade Technologies, Inc. | 77389 | Professional Services | 1,965.00 |
| Hartnett Reyes-Jones, L.L.C. | 77390 | Legal Fees | 5,616.50 |
| Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc. | 77391 | Group Ins. Consulting Services Monthly Fee | 3,320.25 |
| Konika Minolta Business Solutions USA Inc. | 77392 | Service for Copier C3601, C364E | 323.85 |
| BuildingStars STL Operations, Inc. | 77393 | Janitorial Services \& Supplies | 2,447.93 |
| MSD | 77394 | Sewer Service | 50.84 |
| Purchase Power | 77395 | Postage | 520.00 |
| Office Essentials | 77396 | Office Supplies | 385.01 |
| Specialty Mailing | 77397 | Postage - Daily Pickup | 200.00 |
| Buck Global, LLC | 77398 | Actuarial \& Consulting Services-March 2021 | 6,366.00 |
| Anders CPAs \& Advisors | 77399 | Audit of Financial Stms., 1099R Preparation | 33,060.00 |
| Tech Electronics, Inc. | 77400 | City of St. Louis ARFAM Permit Fee | 55.00 |
| Susan Kane | 77401 | Office Supplies | 26.37 |
| CBRE - 608844 | 77402 | Management Fee - April 2021 | 1,211.81 |
| CBRE-608844 | 77403 | Engineer Services | 390.00 |
| Full Care | 77404 | Snow and ice Management | 4,645.00 |
| Yardi Marketplace | 77405 | Supplies | 21.66 |
| Thyssenkrupp Elevator Corporation | 77406 | Full Maintenance Coverage 04/01/2021-06/30/202 | 540.00 |
| Melion Investments Corporation | 77407 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 2,037.69 |
| Melion Investments Corporation | 77408 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 32,943.65 |
| Systematic Financial Management,LP | 77409 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 83,848.85 |
| Date Paid April 23, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Office Payroll | ACH | Office Payroll | 11,097.87 |
| AXA Equitable | ACH | 457 Contributions | 1,741.00 |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$221,042.19 |
|  |  |  |  |


| Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis Checks Written During the Month of May, 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payee | Ck. Number | Description | Amount |
| Date Paid May 5, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Ameren Missouri | 77442 | Electric Service | 1,388.89 |
| ACC Business | 77443 | Telephone Fiberoptics | 528.08 |
| FreedomVoice | 77444 | Telephone Service | 454.84 |
| Charter Communications | 77445 | Charter Internet and Voice | 214.96 |
| Republic Services \#346 | 77446 | Trash Pick-Up | 190.00 |
| Clayton Parking | 77447 | May 2021 Parking - 2 Employees | 140.00 |
| Shredlt- USA | 77448 | Document Shredding | 111.55 |
| Arthur J. Gallagher Risk Mgmt. Services, Inc. | 77449 | Fiduciary Extension | 10,646.00 |
| Arthur J. Gallagher Risk Mgmt. Services, Inc. | 77450 | Crime - Installment 2 of 3 | 1,710.00 |
| Nexcess | 77451 | Web Hosting | 143.40 |
| ActiveTrak | 77452 | Internet Security | 345.60 |
| American City Business Journals, Inc. | 77453 | 12 Subscriptions St. Louis Business Journal | 900.00 |
| Randy Elam | 77454 | Webcam For Webinars | 79.99 |
| CBRE-608844 | 77455 | Engineer Services | 747.50 |
| St. Louis Mat \& Linen Company | 77456 | Floor Mats | 172.50 |
| Blue Chip Pest Services | 77457 | Pest Control | 48.00 |
| Causeway Capital Management LLC | 77458 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 75,599.32 |
| EARNEST Partners, LLC | 77459 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 7,433.09 |
| The Edgar Lomax Company | 77460 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 78,633.11 |
| INTECH Investment Management LLC | 77461 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 38,363.89 |
| Manulife Investment Management U.S. LLC | 77462 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 29,613.99 |
| Mellon Investments Corporation | 77463 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 707.82 |
| TCW Asset Management Company | 77464 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 56,758.29 |
| Westfield Capital Management Company LP | 77465 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 81,587.40 |
| Xponance, Inc. | 77466 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 73,242.52 |
| Xponance, Inc. | 77467 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 10,219.27 |
| US Bank | 77468 | 1st Quarter 2021 Custodial Fee | 25,666.38 |
| Board of Education St. Louis Benefits Trust | 77469 | Office Employees Insurance - Dental | 203.81 |
| Board of Education St. Louis Benefits Trust | 77470 | Office Employees Insurance - Vision | 12.78 |
| Board of Education St. Louis Benefits Trust | 77471 | Office Employees insurance - Life | 173.60 |
| Date Paid May 7, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Office Payroll | ACH | Office Payroll | 10,811.87 |
| AXA Equitable | ACH | 457 Contributions | 2,141.00 |
| Date Paid May 20, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Absopure Water Company | 77498 | Water Cooler Service | 27.90 |
| Blade Technologies, Inc. | 77499 | Professional Services | 1,965.00 |
| Hartnett Reyes-Jones, L.L.C. | 77500 | Legal Fees | 10,222.50 |
| Gallagher Benefit Services, Inc. | 77501 | Group Ins. Consulting Services Monthly Fee | 3,320.25 |
| Konika Minolta Business Solutions USA Inc. | 77502 | Service for Copier C360I, C364E | 232.85 |
| BuildingStars STL Operations, Inc. | 77503 | Janitorial Services | 1,386.00 |
| MSD | 77504 | Sewer Service | 45.84 |
| Purchase Power | 77505 | Postage | 540.00 |
| Specialty Mailing | 77506 | Postage - Daily Pickup | 170.00 |
| Buck Global, LLC | 77507 | Actuarial \& Consulting Services-April 2021 | 9,566.00 |
| Tech Electronics, Inc. | 77508 | Monitoring of Fire and Security Alarm | 360.00 |
| Microtek Document Imaging Systems, Inc. | 77509 | Imaging Hosting for the Month of April 2021 | 561.69 |
| Jupiter Consulting Services, LLC | 77510 | Programming Consulting | 5,481.00 |
| Randy Elam | 77511 | Recording For Phone System | 175.00 |
| CBRE - 608844 | 77512 | Management Fee - May 2021 | 1,211.81 |
| Blue Chip Pest Services | 77513 | Pest Control | 46.00 |
| Fidelity Institutional Asset Mgmt. Trust Co. | 77514 | 1st Quarter 2021 Management Fee | 72,619.85 |
| NEPC, LLC | 77515 | 1st Quarter 2021 Consulting Fee | 34,655.55 |
| NEPC, LLC | 77516 | 1st Quarter 2021 Alt. Investment Mgmt. Fee | 12,500.00 |


|  | Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis <br> Checks <br> Written During the Month of May, 2021 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pavee | Ck. Number | Description |  |
| Date Paid May 21, 2021 |  |  |  |
| Office Payroll | ACH | Office Payroll |  |
| AXA Equitable | ACH | 457 Contributions | $10,811.87$ |
|  |  | TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 , 1 4 1 . 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 6 7 7 , 0 2 9 . 5 6}$ |

## Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis, Missouri

2021 Valuation Results

June 21, 2021

## Overview - Valuation Process



## Summary of Methods and Assumptions

|  | Assumptions and Methods |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interest Rate | $7.5 \%$ (net of expenses) |
| Interest Crediting Rate | $5.0 \%$ |
| Salary Increase | $5.0 \%$ per year for first 5 years of employment and 3.50\% thereafter |
| Mortality | RP-2014 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (rolled back to 2006), projected <br> fully generationally using projection scale MP-2015. The mortality <br> assumption for Inactive participants receiving benefits is increased by 10\% <br> to account for the higher mortality experienced by the Plan. |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Frozen Entry Age |
| Valuation of Assets | Prior year's actuarial value is increased at the assumed rate of return with <br> appropriate adjustments for contributions and disbursements to produce an <br> expected actuarial value of assets at the end of the year. The expected <br> actuarial value is compared to the market value of assets less the expense <br> and contingency reserve, and 20\% of the difference is added to the <br> expected actuarial value. |

*Full Summary of Methods and Assumptions can be found in Section 3.8 of the Actuarial Valuation Report

## Data - Population as of January 1

Retirees and Beneficiaries
Inactives
Actives
School District
Charter Schools
Retirement System
Total Actives
Total

| 2021 | 2020 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4,386 | 4,477 | -91 |
| 3,560 | 3,274 | 286 |
|  |  |  |
| 3,503 | 3,614 | -111 |
| 1,474 | 1,487 | -13 |
| 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 4,984 | 5,108 | -124 |
| 12,930 | 12,859 | 71 |

## Data - Member census information



## Gain/loss during 2020 - Liability

A. 2020 Entry Age Normal Liability ..... \$1,274.6
B. Expected 2021 Entry Age Normal Liability ..... \$1,278.5
C. Impact of plan changes ..... \$0
D. Actual 2021 Entry Age Normal Liability ..... \$1,257.7
Gain / (Loss): B + C - D ..... $\$ 20.8$
Gain / (Loss) as a Percent of Expected ..... $1.6 \%$

## Gain/loss during 2020 - Assets

A. 2020 Assets
B. Expected 2021 Assets
C. Actual 2021 Assets
D. Gain/(Loss): C - B
E. Expected Return
F. Actual Return
G. Gain/(Loss): F - E

| Actuarial Value of <br> Assets <br> (\$ in millions) | Market Value of Assets <br> (\$ in millions) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 888.8$ | $\$ 893.3$ |
| $\$ 896.6$ | $\$ 901.5$ |
| $\$ 894.3$ | $\$ 914.8$ |
| $\$(2.4)$ | $\$ 13.3$ |
| $7.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $7.0 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| $(0.5) \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |

* Actual return calculation assumes mid-year cash flows
* Totals may differ from summation due to rounding

BUCK

## Valuation results - Summary

|  |  | January 1, 2021 | January 1, 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Expense and contingency reserve | \$ | 30,004,728 | \$ | 30,244,590 |
| Market value, excluding expense \& contingency reserve |  | 884,772,226 |  | 863,051,012 |
| Actuarial value |  | 894,251,149 |  | 888,759,194 |
| System liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$ | 242,200,815 | \$ | 241,849,149 |
| Projected unit credit liability | \$ | 1,221,292,952 | \$ | 1,241,617,244 |
| Entry Age Normal liability | \$ | 1,257,782,934 | \$ | 1,274,573,564 |
| Funding Ratio (PUC) |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial value funding ratio |  | 73.2\% |  | 71.6\% |
| Market value funding ratio |  | 72.4\% |  | 69.5\% |
| Funding Ratio (EAN) |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarial value funding ratio |  | 71.1\% |  | 69.7\% |
| Market value funding ratio |  | 70.3\% |  | 67.7\% |
| Employer Cost |  |  |  |  |
| Actuarially Determined Rate |  | 17.10\% |  | 18.18\% |
| Statutory Required Rate |  | 14.50\% |  | 15.00\% |

## Valuation results - Annual required contribution

|  | 2021 <br> Valuation | 2020 <br> Valuation | Increase / <br> (Decrease) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Normal cost contribution | $17,821,684$ | $23,166,568$ | $(5,344,884)$ |
| Actuarial accrued liability contribution | $27,438,261$ | $26,456,158$ | 982,103 |
| Actuarially determined contribution (ADC) | $45,259,945$ | $49,622,726$ | $(4,362,781)$ |
| Covered compensation | $264,676,845$ | $272,973,377$ | $(8,296,532)$ |
| ADC as \% of covered payroll | $17.10 \%$ | $18.18 \%$ | $(1.08) \%$ |
| Statutory required contribution rate | $14.50 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ | $(0.50) \%$ |
| Statutory annual required contribution (ARC) | $38,378,143$ | $40,946,007$ | $(2,567,864)$ |

## Valuation results as of January 1, 2021 <br> Contribution amounts by employer group:

|  | Board of <br> Education | Retirement <br> System | Charter <br> Schools | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Normal cost contribution | $\$ 12,395,193$ | $\$$ | 37,245 | $\$ 5,389,246$ | $17,821,684$ |
| Actuarial accrued liability contribution | $19,083,636$ | 57,343 | $8,297,282$ | $27,438,261$ |  |
| Actuarially determined contribution (ADC) | $31,478,829$ | 94,588 | $13,686,528$ | $45,259,945$ |  |
| Covered payroll | $184,085,888$ | 553,144 | $80,037,813$ | $264,676,845$ |  |
| ADC as \% of covered compensation | $17.10 \%$ | $17.10 \%$ | $17.10 \%$ | $17.10 \%$ |  |
| Statutory Annual Required contribution: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered payroll | $184,085,888$ | 553,144 | $80,037,813$ | $264,676,845$ |  |
| ARC as \% of covered payroll | $14.50 \%$ | $14.50 \%$ | $14.50 \%$ | $14.50 \%$ |  |
| Statutory annual required contribution (ARC) | $26,692,454$ | 80,206 | $11,605,483$ | $38,378,143$ |  |

## Potential risks to Plan's future financial condition

- Deviations between actual future experience and actuarial assumed future experience may cause the plan to become less funded over time increasing the required contributions.
- A few areas where deviations may arise:



## Contribution risk: <br> Actual contribution amounts less than the actuarially determined contribution. <br> Statutory contributions being lower than the actuarially determined contributions will significantly increase the risk.

## Long term return on investment assumption risk: <br> Potential that future capital market assumptions will produce a need to decrease the current long term investment return assumption

## Certification

The results in this presentation were developed for the Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis by Buck using generally accepted actuarial principles and techniques in accordance with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs). The purpose of this presentation is to provide to the board a summary of the 2021 valuation results for discussion during the board meeting attended by the actuaries. Use of this presentation for any other purpose may not be appropriate and may result in mistaken conclusions due to failure to understand applicable assumptions, methodologies, or inapplicability of the report for that purpose. Because of the risk of misinterpretation of actuarial results, you should ask Buck to review any statement you wish to make on the results contained in this presentation. Buck will not accept any liability for any such statement made without prior review. No third-party recipient of Buck's work product should rely upon Buck's work product absent involvement of Buck or without our approval.

Interested parties may refer to the full January 1, 2021, actuarial valuation report for a detailed explanation regarding data, assumptions, methods, and plan provisions that underlie the valuation results. The valuation report also provides the risk disclosures required under ASOP 51 and the use of model disclosures under ASOP 56.
Where presented, references to "funded ratio" and "unfunded accrued liability" typically are measured on an actuarial value of assets basis. It should be noted that the same measurements using market value of assets would result in different funded ratios and unfunded accrued liabilities. Moreover, the funded ratio presented is appropriate for evaluating the need and level of future contributions but makes no assessment regarding the funded status of the plan if the plan were to settle (i.e., purchase annuities) for a portion or all of its liabilities.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurement presented in this report due to such factors as: plan experience different from that anticipated by the economic and demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of this report, an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements has not been performed.
Michael A. Ribble and Matthew Staback meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained in this presentation. We are available to answer any questions on the material contained in the report, or to provide explanations or further details as may be appropriate.

# Any final questions on the valuation? 

## Request board approval of report results


© 2021 Buck Consultants Limited. All rights reserved. Buck is a trademark of Buck Global LLC.
And/or its subsidiaries in the United States and/or other countries.

# Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis, Missouri 

## Actuarial Valuation Report

June 2021

Ms. Susan Kane<br>Executive Director<br>PSRS of the City of St. Louis<br>3641 Olive Street, Suite 300<br>St. Louis, MO 63108-3601

## Re: Actuarial Certification of January 1, 2021 Valuation

Dear Members of the Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis Board:

The annual actuarial valuation required for the Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis ("System") has been prepared as of January 1,2021 by Buck. The purposes of the valuation are to:
(1) determine the required annual contributions from the board of education, the retirement system, and the charter schools; and
(2) present the valuation results of the System as of January 1, 2021.

This report is submitted in accordance with Section 169.450-16 Revised Statutes of Missouri (R.S. Mo.). The required contribution to the System from the board of education, the retirement system, and the charter schools is computed in accordance with Section 169.490 R.S. Mo. The amount of the required contribution is stated in Section 1.3 of this report. Information with respect to financial disclosures under GASB 67 and 68 may be found in a separate report.

In preparing this valuation, we have employed generally accepted actuarial methods and assumptions, in conjunction with employee data and financial information provided to us by the System, to determine a reasonable and sound value for the System liability. The employee data has not been audited, but it has been reviewed and found to be consistent, both internally and with prior years' data. The validity of the valuation results is dependent upon the accuracy of the data and financial information provided.

In our opinion, the actuarial assumptions used are reasonable, taking into account the experience of the System and reasonable long-term expectations, and represent our best estimate of the anticipated long-term experience under the System. The actuary performs an analysis of System experience periodically and recommends changes if, in the opinion of the actuary, assumption changes are needed to more accurately reflect expected future experience. The Experience Study for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2015 was prepared by Buck and approved by the Board for use beginning with the January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation and will remain in effect for valuation purposes until such time as the Board adopts revised assumptions. The next Experience Study will be based on the period from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2020 and upon approval by the Board will be the basis of valuations performed from January 1, 2022 through January 1, 2026. A summary of all assumptions and methods is presented in Section 3.8 of this report.

Where presented, references to "funded ratio" and "unfunded accrued liability" typically are measured on an actuarial value of assets basis. It should be noted that the same measurements using market value of assets would result in different funded ratios and unfunded accrued liabilities. Moreover, the funded ratio presented is appropriate for evaluating the need and level of future contributions but makes no assessment regarding the funded status of the plan if the plan were to settle (i.e. purchase annuities) for a portion or all of its liabilities.

Future actuarial measurements and contribution requirements may differ from those determined in the valuation because of:
(1) differences between actual experience and anticipated experience based on the assumptions;
(2) changes in actuarial assumptions or methods;
(3) changes in statutory provisions;
differences between actuarially required contributions and actual contributions.

Buck prepared this report for use by the Retirement System and its auditors in reviewing the operation of the System, including the determination of contributions to be made to the System. Use of this report by other parties or for any other purpose may not be appropriate and may result in mistaken conclusions due to failure to understand applicable assumptions, methodologies, or the inapplicability of the report for that purpose. Because of the risk of misinterpretation of actuarial results, Buck recommends requesting its advance review of any statement, document, or filing to be based on information contained in this report. Buck will accept no liability for any such statement, document or filing made without its prior review.

Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 56 provides guidance to actuaries when performing actuarial services with respect to designing, developing, selecting, modifying, using, reviewing, or evaluating models. Buck uses third-party software in the performance of annual actuarial valuations and projections. The model is intended to calculate the liabilities associated with the provisions of the plan using data and assumptions as of the measurement date under the funding rules specified in this report. The output from the third-party vendor software is used as input to an internally developed model that applies applicable funding rules to the derived liabilities and other inputs, such as plan assets and contributions, to generate many of the exhibits found in this report. Buck has an extensive review process in which the results of the liability calculations are checked using detailed sample life output, changes from year to year are summarized by source, and significant deviations from expectations are investigated. Other funding outputs and the internal model are similarly reviewed in detail and at a higher level for accuracy, reasonability, and consistency with prior results. Buck also reviews the third-party model when significant changes are made to the software. This review is performed by experts within Buck who are familiar with applicable funding rules, as well as the manner in which the model generates its output. If significant changes are made to the internal model, extra checking and review are completed. Significant changes to the internal model that are applicable to multiple clients are generally developed, checked, and reviewed by multiple experts within Buck who are familiar with the details of the required changes.

The undersigned meets the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein, and is available to answer questions regarding this report.

We believe that the assumptions and methods used for funding purposes are individually and in aggregate, reasonable and in combination represent a best estimate of anticipated experience under the plan. We believe that this report conforms with the requirements of the Missouri statutes, and where applicable, other federal and accounting laws, regulations and rules, as well as actuarial principles and practices in accordance with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs).

Sincerely,


Michael A. Ribble, FSA, EA, MAAA, FCA Principal, Wealth Consulting


Matthew Staback, ASA, EA, MAAA, CERA, FCA Consultant, Wealth Consulting

Buck Global, LLC (Buck)
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## Report Highlights

This report has been prepared by Buck to:

- Present the results of a valuation of the Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis ("System") as of January 1, 2021; and
- Determine the required annual contribution for 2022.

This report is divided into three sections. Section 1 contains the results of the valuation. It includes the experience of the System during the 2020 plan year, the actuarially required costs, and funded levels.

Section 2 contains asset information. It includes market value of assets, the calculation of actuarial value of assets, the contingency reserve, and asset returns.

Section 3 describes the basis of the valuation. It summarizes the System provisions, provides information relating to the System members, and describes the funding methods and actuarial assumptions used in determining liabilities and costs. Also included is historical information about the System.

## Experience Gains and Losses

Under the actuarial funding method used to determine the contribution, actuarial gains (or losses) result in a decrease (or increase) in the normal cost rate. Actuarial gains (or losses) result from differences between the actual experience of the System and the expected experience based upon the actuarial assumptions. Annual gains (or losses) should be expected because short-term deviations from expected long-term average experience are common.

For the 2020 plan year, total (net) actuarial gain due to plan experience were $\$ 18.7$ million. Approximately $\$ 2.4$ million is a loss attributable to the System's actuarial rate of return on assets which was $7.0 \%$, or $0.5 \%$ lower than the assumed rate of return of $7.5 \%$ for plan year 2020. By comparison, the rate of return on the market value of assets during plan year 2020 was $8.9 \%$. The difference in these returns is due to the asset smoothing. Market value returns were higher than expected, but these returns are smoothed over 5 years in the actuarial value, rather than realized immediately. As of January 1, 2021, the actuarial value of assets of $\$ 894.3$ million is above market value of assets (excluding the expense and contingency reserve) by approximately $\$ 9.5$ million.

An actuarial gain of approximately $\$ 21.1$ million attributable to demographic experience, estimated based on a Projected Unit Credit basis, is included in the above total (net) actuarial gain of $\$ 18.7$ million. The actuarial gain was attributable to salary increases being lower than expected and higher than expected mortality experience.

## Assumption Changes

For the 2021 valuation, no assumptions were changed. A detailed description of the assumptions appears in section 3.8.

## Plan Changes

For the 2021 valuation, no plan provisions were changed.

## Normal cost rate

The normal cost is determined annually and equals the product of the normal cost rate times covered payroll. For plan year 2021, the annual normal cost contribution is $\$ 17,821,684$, as compared to $\$ 23,166,568$ for plan year 2020. This decrease is primarily due to the legislative changes in future employee contributions, decrease in covered payroll, and the new tier of employees coming into the plan with a $1.75 \%$ pension multiplier. Covered payroll decreased from $\$ 272.9$ million to $\$ 264.7$ million. The annual normal cost rate decreased from $8.18 \%$ to 6.49\%.

## Accrued liability amortization

The actuarial accrued liability contribution is determined as the amount necessary to amortize the remaining Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability (UFAAL) over a period of 30 years from January 1, 2006, when the Board of Trustees acted to redetermine the UFAAL. This portion of the contribution only changes to reflect changes in benefits, changes in actuarial assumptions and methods, and variations in the remaining UFAAL due to deviations between actual and expected contributions. Employer contributions for 2020 were $\$ 7.6$ million lower than the annual required contribution, which increased the UFAAL more than expected. As a result, the net amortization payment increased from $\$ 26,456,158$ to $\$ 27,438,261$ while the amortization payment component of the contribution rate increased from $9.7 \%$ to $10.4 \%$ of covered payroll.

## Required contribution and timing

In 2001, the Board of Education agreed to institute a one-year lag for payments of the annual required contributions due from SLPS for future years. Therefore, this actuarial valuation is used to determine the annual required contribution (ARC) payment from SLPS for plan year 2021, due to the Plan no later than December 31, 2022. Due to legislation passed August 28, 2017, the contribution rate is set as a fixed percentage rather than an actuarially determined percentage. Because of the statutory required contribution rate, the dollar amount of the ARC due from SLPS no later than December 31, 2022, decreased from \$29,106,335 for plan year 2020 to \$26,692,454 for plan year 2021.

As a percentage of covered payroll in plan year 2021, the contribution rate decreased from $15.00 \%$ for plan year 2020 to $14.50 \%$ for plan year 2021. Charter Schools pay both employer and employee contributions as they occur shortly after each payroll period; therefore, this actuarial valuation is used to determine the contribution rate of $14.50 \%$ that Charter Schools should be paying beginning with payroll periods ending on or after January 1, 2021.

According to the 2021 Actuarial Valuation Results and timing of payments found in this report, it is important to note that on an actuarially determined and sound basis, SLPS and the Charter Schools should be paying an annual contribution rate of $17.10 \%$ versus the $14.50 \%$ contribution rate for plan year 2021 as required by statute. The effects on the System's actuarial soundness due to the decreasing statutory required contribution rate schedule will be presented in future annual actuarial valuation reports as they occur.

## Summary and Comparison of Principal Valuation Results

## Annual Required Contribution

|  | Board of Education |  | Retirement System |  | Charter <br> Schools |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal cost contribution | \$ | 12,395,193 | \$ | 37,245 | \$ | 5,389,246 | \$ | 17,821,684 |
| Actuarial accrued liability contribution |  | 19,083,636 |  | 57,343 |  | 8,297,282 |  | 27,438,261 |
| Actuarially determined contribution (ADC) | \$ | 31,478,829 | \$ | 94,588 | \$ | 13,686,528 | \$ | 45,259,945 |
| Covered payroll |  | 184,085,888 |  | 553,144 |  | 80,037,813 |  | 264,676,845 |
| ADC as \% of covered payroll |  | 17.10\% |  | 17.10\% |  | 17.10\% |  | 17.10\% |
| Statutory required contribution rate |  | 14.50\% |  | 14.50\% |  | 14.50\% |  | 14.50\% |
| Statutory annual required contribution (ARC) | \$ | 26,692,454 | \$ | 80,206 | \$ | 11,605,483 | \$ | 38,378,143 |

2020

| Normal cost contribution | $\$$ | $16,467,879$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Actuarial accrued liability contribution | $18,806,274$ |  |
| Actuarially determined contribution (ADC) | $\$$ | $35,274,153$ |
| Covered payroll | $194,042,234$ |  |
| ADC as \% of covered payroll | $18.18 \%$ |  |
| Statutory required contribution rate |  | $15.00 \%$ |
| Statutory annual required contribution (ARC) | $\$$ | $29,106,335$ |


| $\$$ | 42,945 |  | $\$$ | $6,655,744$ |  | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | 49,043 |  | $7,600,841$ |  | $23,166,568$ |  |
|  |  | 91,988 |  | \$ | $14,256,585$ |  |

January 1, 2021 January 1, 2020

## System Assets

Expense and contingency reserve
Market value, excluding expense \& contingency reserve
Actuarial value

## System liabilities

Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
Projected Unit Credit (PUC) Actuarial Accrued Liability
Entry Age Normal (EAN) Actuarial Accrued Liability

## PUC Funding Ratio

| Actuarial value funding ratio | $73.2 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Market value funding ratio | $72.4 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ |
| EAN Funding Ratio | $71.1 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
| Actuarial value funding ratio | $70.3 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| Market value funding ratio |  |  |

\$ 242,200,815
\$1,221,292,952
\$1,257,782,934
71.1\%
67.7\%

## Analysis of the Valuation

## (1) Investment Experience

Our actuarial calculations were based upon the assumption that the System's assets earn $7.50 \%$. The approximate market value rate of return during 2020 was $8.85 \%$. The approximate actuarial value rate of return was $7.04 \%$.

## (2) Demographic Experience

The number of active members decreased from 5,108 to 4,984 for the period. The average service of active members increased from 7.47 to 8.15 , the average age decreased slightly, and the average annual salary decreased by $\$ 335(0.6 \%)$. There were small changes in the inactive statistics. The membership statistics are provided in Sections 3.3 through 3.7 of this report.

## (3) Salary Increases

The average annual salary decreased by 0.6\% between January 1, 2020 and January 1, 2021.
Total annual covered payroll decreased by 3.0\% between January 1, 2020 and January 1, 2021.
(4) Changes in Methods from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in methods since the prior valuation.
(5) Changes in Assumptions from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in assumptions since the prior valuation.
(6) Changes in Benefit Provisions from the Prior Valuation

There have been no changes in assumptions since the prior valuation.

## (7) Other Changes

There have been no other changes since the prior valuation.
(8) Summary

The overall effect of experience during the period resulted in any increase change in the PUC funding ratio utilizing the actuarial value of assets from $71.6 \%$ to $73.2 \%$. The total actuarially determined contribution rate decreased from $18.18 \%$ to $17.10 \%$ of covered payroll.

## Section 1 - Valuation Results

This section sets forth the results of the actuarial valuation.
Section 1.1 Develops the actuarial accrued liability contribution
Section 1.2 Develops the normal cost contribution
Section 1.3 Develops the required annual contribution
Section $1.4 \quad$ Actuarial balance sheet as of January 1, 2021
Section 1.5 Projected Unit Credit funding ratios
Section $1.6 \quad$ Projected Unit Credit funded status
Section $1.7 \quad$ Prioritized solvency test

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.1 Determination of the Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability

1. Unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2020 \$ 241,849,149
2. Normal cost for 2020

23,166,568
3. Interest to December 31, $2020\{0.075 \times[(1)+0.5 \times(2)]\}$

19,007,432
4. Employer contributions in 2020

41,822,334
5. Interest on (4) at $7.50 \%$ to December 31, 2020 0
6. Supplement for changes in actuarial assumptions or benefits
7. Unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2021, $(1)+(2)+(3)-(4)-(5)+(6)$

242,200,815
8. Actuarial accrued liability contribution for 2021 27,438,261 End of year amortization payment of (7) over 15 years

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.2 Determination of Normal Cost Contribution

1. Actuarial present value of future benefits
a. Active participants
i. Retirement benefits
\$ 390,509,144
ii. Vested withdrawal benefits 52,545,223
iii. Refund of contributions 10,865,464
iv. Survivor benefits 4,313,486
v. Disability benefits $\quad \underset{\text { 10,932,356 }}{ }$
Total
b. Retired participants and beneficiaries
\$ 469,165,673
879,008,937
c. Inactive participants
i. Vested participants
36,797,875
ii. Nonvested participants $\quad 12,956,195$
Total
d. Total actuarial present value of future benefits
2. Unfunded frozen actuarial accrued liability as of January 1, 2021
3. Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2021

49,754,070
1,397,928,680
4. Actuarial present value of future participant contributions
5. Actuarial present value of future employer normal costs, $(1)(d)-(2)-(3)-(4)$, not less than $\$ 0$

112,711,699
6. Actuarial present value of future covered payroll of current participants

$$
1,737,010,509
$$

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 7. Employer normal cost rate, }(5) /(6) & 6.49 \%\end{array}$
8. Total covered payroll
9. Normal cost for 2021, (7) x (8)
10. Normal cost contribution due by December 31, 2021, (9) $\times[1+(0.075 \times 0.5)]$

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.3 Required Annual Contribution

## Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC):

|  | Board of <br> Education | Retirement <br> System | Charter <br> Schools | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Statutory Annual Required Contribution (ARC):

|  | Board of <br> Education | Retirement <br> System | Charter <br> Schools | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.4 Actuarial Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2021

## Actuarial assets

Actuarial value of current assets $\quad \$ \quad 894,251,149$
Actuarial present value of future participant contributions
Actuarial present value of future employer contributions for:
Normal costs
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
Total current and future assets

## Actuarial liabilities

Actuarial present value of benefits now payable
Actuarial present value of benefits payable in the future:
Active participants
Terminated vested participants
Terminated non-vested participants
Total payable in the future
Total liabilities for benefits
Surplus / (deficit)
\$ 469,165,673 36,797,875

148,765,017

112,711,699
242,200,815
\$ 1,397,928,680
\$ 879,008,937

12,956,195

518,919,743
\$ 1,397,928,680

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.5 Projected Unit Credit Funding Ratios

The funding objective of the System is to meet long-term benefit promises through contributions that remain approximately level from year to year as a percentage of covered payroll.

Funding ratios provide a measure of how much progress has been made towards achieving this objective. For this purpose, the System's liabilities are determined using the projected unit credit cost method. Under this method, liabilities are determined for each participant using only service already performed, but anticipating the impact of future salary growth on the benefits attributable to current active participants.

Section 1.6 provides a comparison of this liability measure to the value of assets to produce a snapshot measure of the System's funding ratio.

Another way to check the funding progress of the System is through a prioritized solvency test. Section 1.7 illustrates the history of the System's funding progress under this test.

In a prioritized solvency test, the plan's present assets (cash and investments) are sequentially allocated and compared to three priorities of liabilities as follows:

- Liability 1: Active participant contributions, accumulated with interest;
- Liability 2: The liabilities for future benefits to current inactive participants and beneficiaries; and
- Liability 3: The liabilities for future benefits to current active participants for prior service.

Ideally, progress in funding of these liability groups will normally be exhibited with Liability 1 attaining 100\% coverage first, then Liability 2, and finally Liability 3 . Note that $100 \%$ funding of Liability 3 does not mean that the System has completed its funding of benefits since additional benefits typically are expected to be earned in the future.

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.6 Projected Unit Credit Funded Status

As of January 1, 2021 the Projected Unit Credit Actuarial Accrued Liability was:

1. Retired members and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated members not yet receiving benefits
a. Current active participants
i. Accumulated member contributions, including interest 135,068,312
ii. Employer-financed benefits 157,461,633
Total Projected Unit Credit Actuarial Accrued Liability

As of January 1, 2021 the Projected Unit Credit AAL was funded as follows:
2. Net assets available for benefits at actuarial value
3. Unfunded Projected Unit Credit AAL
4. Actuarial value funding ratio, (2) / (1)
5. Net assets available for benefits at market value
\$ 884,772,226
6. Unfunded Projected Unit Credit AAL 336,520,726
7. Market value funding ratio, (5) / (1)

## Section 1 (continued)

### 1.7 Prioritized Solvency Test

| Valuation date January 1 | Active participants' accumulated contributions | Retirees, beneficiaries and inactive participants | Active participants (employerfinanced) | Valuation assets | Percent covered by valuation assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) |  | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1999 | 130,705,014 | 276,290,128 | 303,953,494 | 694,250,672 | 100\% | 100\% | 95\% |
| 2000 | 129,398,364 | 353,852,977 | 288,213,016 | 770,090,498 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2001 | 127,086,325 | 414,052,293 | 269,590,438 | 828,097,298 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2002 | 116,506,785 | 476,104,516 | 372,221,726 | 861,128,076 | 100\% | 100\% | 72\% |
| 2003 | 115,570,837 | 492,633,382 | 361,818,972 | 873,260,102 | 100\% | 100\% | 73\% |
| 2004 | 106,021,476 | 528,287,121 | 364,459,284 | 901,996,455 | 100\% | 100\% | 73\% |
| 2005 | 89,710,662 | 518,880,414 | 368,306,240 | 935,328,638 | 100\% | 100\% | 89\% |
| 2006 | 90,001,111 | 661,353,685 | 319,920,373 | 983,828,243 | 100\% | 100\% | 73\% |
| 2007 | 96,223,413 | 712,467,372 | 305,409,824 | 1,003,428,983 | 100\% | 100\% | 64\% |
| 2008 | 98,112,123 | 781,006,957 | 249,244,208 | 1,014,923,381 | 100\% | 100\% | 54\% |
| 2009 | 104,576,264 | 801,995,237 | 187,035,147 | 963,851,408 | 100\% | 100\% | 31\% |
| 2010 | 110,054,510 | 805,831,292 | 195,185,151 | 950,709,944 | 100\% | 100\% | 18\% |
| 2011 | 103,178,297 | 842,643,351 | 169,510,764 | 944,356,735 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| 2012 | 116,268,566 | 850,498,527 | 189,084,439 | 925,389,359 | 100\% | 95\% | 0\% |
| 2013 | 120,355,959 | 849,412,565 | 190,553,739 | 914,494,335 | 100\% | 93\% | 0\% |
| 2014 | 114,092,991 | 896,477,122 | 164,014,835 | 922,922,386 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 2015 | 116,755,946 | 892,626,625 | 156,682,397 | 926,905,797 | 100\% | 91\% | 0\% |
| 2016 | 120,507,482 | 887,757,927 | 157,501,063 | 915,391,079 | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% |
| 2017 | 122,746,557 | 933,916,821 | 166,666,305 | 901,076,683 | 100\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 2018 | 122,241,799 | 935,005,411 | 178,661,824 | 899,816,911 | 100\% | 83\% | 0\% |
| 2019 | 126,636,422 | 932,068,226 | 179,448,673 | 886,156,011 | 100\% | 81\% | 0\% |
| 2020 | 130,619,480 | 934,865,605 | 176,132,159 | 888,759,194 | 100\% | 81\% | 0\% |
| 2021 | 135,068,312 | 928,763,007 | 157,461,633 | 894,251,149 | 100\% | 82\% | 0\% |

## Section 2 - Valuation of the System's Assets

This section of the report shows the development of the actuarial value of the assets of the System and provides information regarding the expense and contingency reserve, investment results and the various assets of the System.

The amount of assets used in the actuarial valuation is known as the "actuarial value of assets." The method is discussed in the summary of methods and assumptions, section 3.8. The development of the actuarial value of assets is shown in section 2.1. An important element in the development of the actuarial value of assets is the expense and contingency reserve. The amount of the reserve is determined pursuant to a policy adopted by the Board of Trustees. The history of the reserve is presented in section 2.2.

As shown in section 2.3, the fund had a rate of return of $7.04 \%$ on an actuarial value basis, which is $0.46 \%$ below the assumed rate of return of $7.50 \%$ for plan year 2020. The rate of return on an actuarial value basis is intended to be a more stable rate of return and fluctuate less than rates of return on a market value basis. Thus, the rate of return on an actuarial basis is not always a fair measure of the annual investment performance of the fund. Another indicator of actual performance during the year is the rate of return on a market value basis which was $8.85 \%$ for plan year 2020, also presented in section 2.3.

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.1 Development of the Actuarial Value of Assets

1. Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2020
2. Participant contributions
3. Employer contributions
4. Benefit payments and expenses
5. Investment increment at $7.50 \%, 7.50 \% \times\{(1)+.5 \times[(2)-(4)]\}$
6. Expected actuarial value on January 1, 2021, $(1)+(2)+(3)-(4)+(5)$
7. Market value of assets on January 1, 2021
8. Expense and contingency reserve on January 1, 2021, prior to adjustment
9. Adjustment to the investment contingency reserve
10. Excess of market value over expected actuarial value, (7) - (6) - (8) - (9)
11. Market value adjustment, $20 \% \times(10)$
12. Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2021, (6) + (11)
\$ 888,759,194
17,607,279
41,822,334
114,588,086
63,020,159

896,620,880
914,776,954
$30,004,728$
$(11,848,654)$
$(2,369,731)$
894,251,149

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.2 The Expense and Contingency Reserve

Effective January 1, 1996, the Board of Trustees revised Rule X, which governs the determination of the amount of the expense and contingency reserve. The expense portion of the reserve is the sum of:

1. The estimated annual operating expenses for the ensuing year:
2. An amount equal to the liability for non-insurance supplements;
3. An amount equal to the liability for insurance supplements for those participants participating in the program on January 1; and
4. The estimated amount of insurance supplements to be paid for participants expected to retire and participate in the program during the ensuing year.

The investment contingency portion of the reserve is intended to help cover significant shortfalls in the actuarial rate of return. When a shortfall of more than $1 \%$ occurs, a portion of the reserve is released equal to one half of the amount of the shortfall up to $2 \%$ plus any remaining shortfall. When the rate of return exceeds the assumed rate of return by more than $1 \%$, the reserve is increased subject to a maximum reserve of $5 \%$ of the market value of the Retirement Fund. The addition equals one half of the amount of the excess up to $2 \%$ plus any remaining excess.

The actuarial return on assets was within $1 \%$ of $7.50 \%$ during plan year 2020; therefore, no adjustments were made to the actuarial value of assets.

Below is a history of the expense and contingency reserve:

| January 1 | Expense reserve | Investment contingency reserve | Total expense and contingency reserve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | \$30,891,555 | \$24,100,041 | \$54,991,596 |
| 1999 | 22,142,759 | 45,972,067 | 68,114,826 |
| 2000 | 27,992,032 | 50,003,862 | 77,995,894 |
| 2001 | 29,837,776 | 50,003,743 | 79,841,519 |
| 2002 | 23,527,529 | 50,003,743 | 73,531,272 |
| 2003 | 24,952,255 | 37,759,976 | 62,712,231 |
| 2004 | 26,028,780 | 37,759,976 | 63,788,756 |
| 2005 | 27,170,188 | 45,115,876 | 72,286,064 |
| 2006 | 32,534,770 | 45,115,876 | 77,650,646 |
| 2007 | 29,864,946 | 50,732,410 | 80,597,356 |
| 2008 | 31,987,370 | 57,234,574 | 89,221,944 |
| 2009 | 30,555,388 | 0 | 30,555,388 |
| 2010 | 29,903,107 | 0 | 29,903,107 |
| 2011 | 29,480,465 | 0 | 29,480,465 |
| 2012 | 29,564,563 | 0 | 29,564,563 |
| 2013 | 29,181,897 | 0 | 29,181,897 |
| 2014 | 30,439,781 | 0 | 30,439,781 |
| 2015 | 29,868,370 | 0 | 29,868,370 |
| 2016 | 29,537,454 | 0 | 29,537,454 |
| 2017 | 30,921,897 | 0 | 30,921,897 |
| 2018 | 30,751,247 | 0 | 30,751,247 |
| 2019 | 30,776,068 | 0 | 30,776,068 |
| 2020 | 30,244,590 | 0 | 30,244,590 |
| 2021 | 30,004,728 | 0 | 30,004,728 |

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.3 Investment Performance

There are several different methods of approximating the rates of return on investments of the trust fund. Following is a brief comparison of the actuarial assumed rate of return as compared with rates of return on market and actuarial value bases:
a. Market Value Basis

The rate of return on a market value basis is the ratio of the appreciation (or depreciation) of assets less contributions plus disbursements to the market value at the beginning of the year plus the average of the receipts and disbursements made during the year. This may be approximated as follows:
i. A = Market value of assets as of January 1, 2020
ii. $\quad B=$ Market value of assets as of January 1, 2021
iii. $\quad \mathrm{C}=$ Contributions during the period
iv. $\mathrm{D}=$ Disbursements during the period
v. Rate of return: $B-A+D-C$
$A+1 / 2(C-D)$
\$ 893,295,602
914,776,954
59,429,613
114,588,086
8.85\%
vi. Actuarial assumed rate of return for 2020
7.50\%
vii. Difference between actual and assumed rates of return, (v) - (vi)

## b. Actuarial Value Basis

The rate of return on an actuarial value basis is approximated using the same method:
i. $\quad A=$ Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2020
ii. $\quad B=$ Actuarial value of assets as of January 1, 2021
iii. C = Contributions during the period
iv. $\mathrm{D}=$ Disbursements during the period
v. Rate of return: $B-A+D-C$
$A+1 / 2(C-D)$
vi. Actuarial assumed rate of return for 2020
vii. Difference between actual and assumed rates of return, (v) - (vi)
\$ 888,759,194
894,251,149
59,429,613
114,588,086
7.04\%
7.50\%
-0.46\%

## Section 2 (continued)

### 2.4 Summary of Investment Yield Performance

| January 1 | Market Value of <br> Assets (MVA) | Actuarial Value of <br> Assets (AVA) | MVA Rate of <br> Return | AVA Rate of <br> Return |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | $850,180,422$ | $901,076,683$ | $5.31 \%$ | $5.51 \%$ |
| 2018 | $914,082,259$ | $899,816,911$ | $15.22 \%$ | $6.85 \%$ |
| 2019 | $819,449,893$ | $886,156,011$ | $-4.69 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| 2020 | $893,295,602$ | $888,759,194$ | $16.10 \%$ | $6.56 \%$ |
| 2021 | $914,776,954$ | $894,251,149$ | $8.85 \%$ | $7.04 \%$ |

## Section 3 - Basis of the Valuation

In this section, the basis of the valuation is presented and described. This information - the provisions of the System and the census of members - is the foundation of the valuation, since these are the present facts upon which benefit payments will depend.

The effects of administering the System's plan provisions have a direct impact on actuarial costs. The System uses the projected unit credit actuarial cost method discussed on page 10 for actuarial funding purposes, and the frozen entry age normal actuarial cost method discussed on page 34 for actuarial financial reporting purposes.

A summary of the System's provisions is provided in Section 3.1, the legislative history of the System is provided in Section 3.2, and member census information is shown in Section 3.3 to Section 3.7.

The valuation is based upon the premise that the System will continue in existence, so that future events must also be considered. These future events are assumed to occur in accordance with the actuarial assumptions and concern such events as the earnings of the fund; the number of members who will retire, die or terminate their services; their ages at such termination and their expected benefits.

The actuarial assumptions and the actuarial cost method, or funding method, which have been adopted to guide the sponsor in funding the System in a reasonable and acceptable manner, are described in Section 3.8.

A guide to actuarial terminology used in this report is included as Section 3.9.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Participants

All persons regularly employed by the board of education, charter schools, and employees of the board of trustees are in the System.

## Retirement age

## Normal

Age 65 or any age if age plus the years of credited service equals or exceeds 80 (Rule of 80 ).
If the employee terminated prior to August 28, 2017, then Age 65 or any age if age plus the years of credited service equals or exceeds 85 (Rule of 85).

Early
Age 60 with 5 years of service

## Service retirement allowance

a. $2.00 \%(1.25 \%$ if terminated prior to July 1,1999 or $1.75 \%$ if hired on or after January 1,2018$)$ times years of credited service, subject to a maximum of $60 \%$
b. Times average final compensation (AFC)
c. Subject to a maximum of $60 \%$ of AFC.
i. AFC is the highest average compensation for any three consecutive years of the last 10 years of service.
ii. Compensation is the regular wages plus what the employer pays towards the participant's health and welfare benefits.
iii. Minimum monthly benefit is $\$ 10.00$ for each year of credited service, up to 15 years, retirement age 65 and over.
iv. Unused sick leave is added to a participant's credited service and age.

## Early retirement benefit

Service retirement allowance reduced five-ninths of one percent for each month of commencement prior to age 65 or the age at which the Rule of 80 (Rule of 85 if terminated prior to August 28, 2017) would have been satisfied had the employee continued working until that age, if earlier.

## Disability benefit

Service retirement allowance using actual service, or $25 \%$ of AFC if larger, provided that in no case will the benefit exceed that payable if service had continued to age 65.
a. Disability must be incurred while an employee as determined by the medical board and approved by the board of trustees.
b. The participant must have a minimum of five years of credited service and not be eligible for normal retirement.

Continued disability is subject to routine verification.

## Withdrawal benefit

Accumulated contributions of participant with interest credited to the participant's account.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Vested benefit

Full vesting on termination of employment after at least five years of service is provided if contributions are left with the System. The full accrued benefit is payable at age 65 or a reduced early retirement benefit prior to age 65.

## Retirement options

In lieu of the benefit paid only over the lifetime of the participant, a reduced benefit payable for life of participant with:

Option 1 Same retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary.
Option 2 One-half of the retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary.
Option 3 Same retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary. If the beneficiary predeceases the participant, the retirement allowance is adjusted back to the unreduced allowance.

Option 4 One-half of retirement allowance continued after death to the beneficiary. If the beneficiary predeceases the participant, the retirement allowance is adjusted back to the unreduced allowance.
Option 5 Increased retirement allowance is provided up to age 62, such that benefit provided prior to age 62 is approximately equal to the sum of the reduced retirement allowance paid after age 62 and Social Security.
Option 6 Options 1 and 5 combined.
Option 7 Options 2 and 5 combined.

## Survivor benefits

If an active participant dies after completing 18 months of service, leaving a surviving spouse or other dependent beneficiaries, survivor benefits are payable. The widow or dependent beneficiary may elect to receive either a refund of accumulated contributions, or:
a. A survivor who is the widow at least age 62 and married to a participant for at least one year receives $\$ 60$ per month.
b. A widow with dependent, unmarried children under age 22 receives $\$ 60$ per month plus $\$ 60$ per dependent child, not to exceed $\$ 180$ per month. The benefit ceases when youngest child is age 22 and resumes again under (a) at age 62.
c. If no benefits are payable under (a) or (b), minor children may receive a benefit of $\$ 60$ per child or $\$ 180$ divided among them if more than three children.
d. If no benefits are payable under (a), (b) or (c), a dependent parent or parents may receive or share $\$ 60$ per month upon attaining age 62.

If an active participant dies after completing 5 years of service, the widow or dependent beneficiary may elect to receive either a refund of accumulated contributions or:
a. If the survivor is the widow, a survivor benefit calculated as if the participant had been age 60 at death and elected Option 1, plus $\$ 60$ per dependent child not to exceed $\$ 180$ per month.
b. If there is no widow, a survivor benefit calculated as if the participant had been age 60 at death and elected Option 1.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.1 Summary of Plan Provisions

## Return of contributions upon death

If after the death of a participant, no further monthly benefits are payable to a beneficiary under an optional form of payment, or under the survivor benefit provisions, the participant's beneficiary shall be paid the excess, if any, of the participant's accumulated contributions over all payments made to or on behalf of the deceased participant.

## DROP

Effective July 1, 2001, active participants may elect to enter the deferred retirement option plan (DROP) for up to four years. Upon entering the DROP, the participant's retirement benefit is frozen and credited to the participant's DROP account. At the end of the DROP, or upon earlier termination of employment, the DROP account is paid in a lump sum or installments, at the participant's option. During the DROP, the participant continues as an active participant, but does not pay contributions. To enter the DROP the participant must be age 65 or meet the Rule of 85 . The DROP program is no longer available, ending June 30, 2008.

## Contributions by participants

Participants hired before January 1, 2018 contribute $5.50 \%$ of compensation in 2018. This rate increases $0.50 \%$ per year until it reaches $9.00 \%$. After this, the contribution rate will remain at $9.00 \%$ of compensation. Participants hired on or after January 1, 2018 contribute $9.00 \%$ of compensation.
Accumulated contributions are credited at the rate of interest established by the board of trustees. The current crediting rate is $5 \%$ per year.

## Contributions by employers

The employer contribution rate will be set at a flat $16.00 \%$ of covered payroll for Plan year 2018. This contribution rate shall be decreased by $0.50 \%$ in each subsequent Plan year until reaching $9.00 \%$ of covered payroll. After this, the employer contribution rate will remain at $9.00 \%$ of covered payroll.

## Expenses

Administrative expenses are paid out of investment income.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Legislative History of the Retirement System

On and after January 1, 1944, all persons employed by the board of education on a full-time permanent basis are participants of the System as a condition of employment. In 1961, provisions regarding benefits and employee contribution levels were revised for all future employees of the board of education. Participants of the System at that time were granted the right to remain under the "old plan" and have their membership governed by the provisions of the law in effect prior to 1961. These old plan participants have both benefits and contributions based on a $\$ 3,000$ maximum annual compensation. Old plan participants have been given the option to transfer into the revised plan at various times since 1961.

Effective October 13, 1969, legislation permitted the reinstatement of credited service lost during the years 1944 to 1947 inclusive when the married women teachers rule was in effect.

Effective August 31, 1972, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Purchase of past service credit by paying contributions for service claimed plus interest.
- Service as extended substitute teacher.
- Service of re-employed participants lost on prior terminations.
- Service out-state Missouri and outside the state of Missouri.
- Service lost by those who elected to stay out of the retirement plan either temporarily or to date.
- Old plan participants who wished to become new plan participants could do so by paying the differential in participant contributions under the new and old plans, plus interest.
- Dependent beneficiary on death of participant before retirement but after age 60 or age 55 with 30 years service may receive option 1 benefit as if participant had retired under such option.
- A participant with five or more years of service and prior to age 65 may be retired with a disability benefit if the medical board certifies that such participant is mentally or physically totally incapacitated for further performance of duty
- Minimum retirement benefit at age 65 or after 10 years service is $\$ 50.00$ per month.

On February 10, 1975, the Missouri Supreme Court handed down a decision supporting HB 613 (Section 169.585 of state statutes), which granted increased benefits to retired teachers. The increases apply to those teachers who retired after June 30, 1957, and prior to January 1, 1971. Technically, those retirees are retained as "advisors and supervisor" and receive a "salary" of $\$ 5$ per month for each year of service, with a maximum of $\$ 75$. This salary plus the regular retirement benefit cannot exceed $\$ 150$ per month. To the extent that assets are depleted because of this law, future district contributions will increase. Because these benefits are paid as "salaries," coming out of investment income along with other expenses of operation, there will be less money available for crediting of interest to the various funds at the end of the year.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Legislative History of the Retirement System

Effective August 13, 1978 legislation resulted in the following changes:

- The service retirement allowance and projected service retirement allowance was changed to $1-1 / 4 \%$ of average final compensation per year of credited service. The participant's allowance plus his Social Security primary insurance amount could not exceed $80 \%$ of his average final compensation. Participants born before 1917 receive the larger of the allowances calculated under the new formula and the formula in effect immediately before it.
- Credited service no longer limited to a maximum of 35 years.
- Two new joint and survivor optional forms of payment were added which provide for the participant's pension to be adjusted back to his unreduced pension in the event his spouse predeceases him.
- Contributions from participants shall be $3 \%$ of compensation.
- End of period for purchasing prior service or outside service extended from December 31, 1973 to December 31, 1980. Deleted requirement of electing to purchase out-state or outside the state of Missouri service within one year of completing five years of credited service.
- Gives board of trustees the power to establish regulations, methods and factors that may be needed to calculate primary Social Security benefits.
- Dependent beneficiary on death of participant before retirement with five or more years of credited service may receive option 1 benefit as if the participant had retired under that option as of the date of his death.
- Allow retired educational secretaries to serve as part-time or temporary substitute educational secretary up to a maximum of 360 hours per school year without a reduction in the retired employee's retirement allowance or requiring the retired employees to contribute to the retirement system.

Effective September 28, 1979, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Accumulated and unused days of sick leave shall be included in computing a participant's age and credited service at retirement.
- Participants who have attained age 62 and who have 30 or more years of credited service may retire and receive a service retirement allowance without reduction for early retirement. The early retirement reduction for participants who retire with 30 or more years of credited service but who have not attained age 62 on their retirement date shall be determined on the basis of the number of months by which their age at retirement is less than age 62.
- Benefits to survivors of a participant who dies while an employee and after having at least 18 months of credited service are as follows:
(a) Surviving spouse age 62 or over: $\$ 60$ per month.
(b) Surviving spouse with unmarried dependent children under age 22: $\$ 60$ per month, plus $\$ 30$ per month for each eligible child, with a maximum of $\$ 150$ per month.
(c) Unmarried dependent children under age 22: $\$ 60$ per month for each eligible child, with a maximum of $\$ 120$ per month. This benefit is payable if the benefit in (b) is not payable.
(d) Dependent parent(s): $\$ 60$ per month, provided no benefits are payable under (a), (b) or (c) above.


## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Legislative History of the Retirement System

Effective September 28, 1981, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- The provision limiting service retirement and projected service retirement allowances to $80 \%$ of average final compensation less Social Security was removed for future retirees.
- The minimum monthly benefit payable to participants retiring on or after age 65 with 10 or more years of service was increased to $\$ 75$.
- Old plan participants were extended the option to transfer into the current System by paying the difference in participant contributions plus interest. Such election to be made on or before December 31, 1984. Retired participants who retired prior to January 1, 1955, may be consultants" at a "salary" equal to $\$ 4$ for each year of retirement prior to January 1, 1982. Total "salaries" as a "school consultant" and "special school advisor and supervisor" are limited to $\$ 250$ per month.
- The retirement system may contribute as part of its administrative expenses toward health, life and similar insurance for retirees.
- The actuarial cost method was changed from the "entry age cost method" to the "frozen entry age cost method." The period for amortizing "supplements" to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability was set at 50 years from the time the "supplement" is created.
- Several changes were made dealing with the administration and operation of the System.
- Investment powers were broadened.

Effective September 28, 1984, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Dependent beneficiary on death of employed, active participant before retirement with five or more years of service may receive option 1 benefit as if the participant had attained age 55 (if less than 55 at his death) and had retired under option 1 as of the date of his death.
- In addition to the option 1 death benefit, a surviving spouse may receive $\$ 30$ per month for each unmarried dependent child, provided that the total benefit does not exceed the greater of $\$ 150$ or the option 1 benefit.
- Surviving spouse benefits do not cease on remarriage.
- Dependent children's benefits do not require that the child remain a full-time student.
- Participants retired on disability may elect to receive an actuarial equivalent benefit under options 1 through 4.
- Retired participants who retired on or after January 1, 1976, may be employed as school consultants and receive a salary and insurance benefits provided other retirants.


## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Legislative History of the Retirement System

Effective August 13, 1986, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- A participant with 30 years of credited service who is between the ages of 55 and 62 , upon certification by the board of education, is eligible for a supplemental early retirement benefit payable to age 62 . This provision remains in effect until December 31, 1991.
- Benefits to a surviving spouse for dependent children are increased from $\$ 30$ to $\$ 60$ per month, with a maximum of $\$ 240$ per month, including the $\$ 60$ for the surviving spouse.
- Supplemental pay to retired participants employed as "school consultants" is increased by $\$ 2$ per month for each year between the participant's date of retirement and December 31, 1986

Effective June 19, 1987, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Reinstated the option for "old plan" participants to elect "new plan" membership by paying the difference in contributions accumulated with interest.
- Increased the minimum benefit for participants retiring on or after age 65 to $\$ 10$ per month for each year of credited service, up to a maximum of 15 years.
- Several changes were made dealing with the accounting, administration, and operation of the System.

Effective August 13, 1988, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Made provisions for children's benefits uniform, providing $\$ 60$ per month per child, up to a maximum of $\$ 180$ per month, under both subsections 169.460(13) and (15) survivor benefits.
- Supplemental pay to retired participants of \$2 per month for each year of retirement up to December 31, 1988.

Effective June 14, 1989, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- The maximum on compensation was removed.
- Average final compensation is based on the highest three consecutive years, rather than the highest five consecutive years.
- Participants may retire with unreduced benefits at any age, if their age plus credited service equals or exceeds 85 (the "Rule of 85 ").

Effective May 31, 1990, legislation resulted in the following change:

- Supplemental pay of $\$ 2$ per month for each year of retirement up to December 31, 1990.

Effective August 28, 1993, legislation resulted in the following change:

- Supplemental pay of $\$ 3$ per month for each year of retirement up to December 31, 1993.


## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Legislative History of the Retirement System

Effective August 28, 1996, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Provision was added for the purchase of service for certain periods of layoff.
- The investment trustee position was eliminated and the position of school administrator trustee was added.
- Cost-of-living increases for participants who retired prior to August 28, 1996, with at least 15 years of credited service. The cost-of-living increases are up to $3 \%$ in one year, with a cumulative maximum of $10 \%$.
- The board of education is authorized to increase retirement benefits and the participant contribution rate, subject to several conditions.

Effective August 28, 1997, legislation resulted in the following change:

- Cost-of-living increases extended to participants who retired prior to August 28, 1997, with at least 15 years of credited service. The cost-of-living increases are up to $3 \%$ in one year, with a cumulative maximum of $10 \%$.

In accordance with the statutory authority granted the board of education in 1996, the board of education made the following changes:

- Participant contributions were increased to $4.5 \%$, effective July 1, 1998; to $5.0 \%$, effective July 1, 1999; and, if necessary to $5.5 \%$, effective July $1,2000$.
- The service retirement allowance was changed to $2.00 \%$ of average final compensation per year of credited service, subject to a maximum of $60 \%$ of average final compensation, effective for participants who retired after June 29, 1999.
- A "catch-up" cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) is provided for participants who retired prior to June 30, 1999, and survivors of participants who retired or died prior to June 30, 1999. The amount of the "catch-up" COLA is equal to $65 \%$ of the amount by which the participant's original benefit would have increased due to increases in the CPI, in excess of any supplements or COLA increases being received by the participant. The "catch-up" COLA is effective July 1, 2000.
- The board of education agreed to contribute $8.03 \%$ of covered payroll for 1998, 1999, and 2000, in order to fund the benefit increase and the "catch-up" COLA.

In accordance with the statutory authority granted the board of education in 1996, the board of education made the following changes:

- Effective January 1, 2001, all participants who retired prior to January 1, 2000, received a 3\% cost-of-living increase.
- Effective July 1, 2001, a DROP was made available until June 30, 2005, at which time the program will be evaluated to determine whether or not it should be extended. Eligible participants may elect to enter the DROP for up to four years.
- In conjunction with the DROP, employers will contribute at $8.00 \%$ of covered payroll for 2001. The contribution rate for subsequent years will be based on the rate determined by the actuarial valuation for the January 1 of the year preceding the year the contribution is due.


## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.2 Legislative History of the Retirement System

Effective August 28, 2002, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Purchase of service rules were updated.
- The System may accept qualified transfers of funds for the purchase of service.
- Clarified provisions relating to charter school participation in the System.
- Option 5 , the level income option is added.
- Replaced the specific actuarial cost method in the statutes with a provision that the method adopted by the board of trustees may be any method in accordance with generally accepted actuarial standards. The amortization period for the UAAL may not exceed 30 years.

Effective August 28, 2017, legislation resulted in the following changes:

- Replaced the normal pension eligibility requirement where a member's age plus credited service equals not less than eighty-five (the "Rule of 85 ") with not less than eighty (the "Rule of 80 ").
- For members hired for the first time on or after January 1, 2018, the multiplier in the annual pension benefit formula (credited service $x$ pension multiplier $x$ average final compensation) was reduced from two percent ( $2 \%$ ) to one and three-fourths percent ( $1.75 \%$ ).
- Beginning January 1, 2018, the employee contribution rate of five percent (5\%) of compensation shall increase by one-half of one percent ( $0.5 \%$ ) annually until such time as the percentage equals nine percent ( $9 \%$ ).
- For member's hired for the first time on or after January 1, 2018, the employee contribution rate shall be nine percent ( $9 \%$ ) of compensation.
- For calendar year 2018, the actuarially determined annual employer contribution rate shall be replaced with sixteen percent $(16 \%)$ of total employee compensation for each employer which, for each calendar year thereafter, shall decrease by one-half of one percent $(0.5 \%)$ until calendar year 2032, when the annual employer contribution rate shall equal nine percent ( $9 \%$ ) of total employee compensation for each employer for that year and all subsequent years.


## Section 3 （continued）

|  | Active | Retirees | Beneficiaries | Disabled | Total In Pay Status | Deferred <br> Vested | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Nonvested } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \hline \end{array} ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 一 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | Total Terminated Records | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total as of January 1， 2020 | 5，108 | 3，952 | 285 | 240 | 4，477 | 647 | 2，627 | 3，274 | 12，859 |
| New Entrants | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 720 |
| Rehires／Transfers | 39 | （1） |  |  | （1） | （10） | （28） | （38） | 0 |
| Retirements | （120） | 133 |  |  | 133 | （12） | （1） | （13） | 0 |
| Disablements | （4） |  |  | 5 | 5 | （1） |  | （1） | 0 |
| New Beneficiaries |  |  | 11 |  | 11 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Deaths | （9） | （190） | （23） | （20） | （233） | （3） | （3） | （6） | （248） |
| Deferred Vested | （125） |  |  |  |  | 125 |  | 125 | 0 |
| Nonvested Terminations－Account Balance | （318） |  |  |  |  | （9） | 327 | 318 | 0 |
| Refunds Paid in 2020 | （300） |  |  |  |  | （20） | （88） | （108） | （408） |
| Nonvested Termination | （7） |  |  |  |  | （1） | （1） | （2） | （9） |
| Data Adjustments |  | （1） | （5） |  | （6） | （13） | 24 | 11 | 5 |
| Total as of January 1， 2021 | 4，984 | 3，893 | 268 | 225 | 4，386 | 703 | 2，857 | 3，560 | 12，930 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.4 Member Census Information

## As of January 1

| Active Members |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  | 5,108 |  | 4,984 |
| Average Age |  | 43.57 |  | 43.49 |
| Average Service |  | 7.47 |  | 8.15 |
| Average Annual Base Pay | \$ | 53,440 | \$ | 53,105 |
| Vested Terminated Members |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 647 |  | 703 |
| Average Account Balance | \$ | 32,914 | \$ | 34,896 |
| Non-vested Terminated Members |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 2,627 |  | 2,857 |
| Average Account Balance | \$ | 4,182 | \$ | 4,535 |
| Benefit Recipients |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  | 4,477 |  | 4,386 |
| Average Age |  | 74.74 |  | 74.95 |
| Average Monthly Benefit | \$ | 1,978 | \$ | 2,005 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.5 Distributions of Active Members

## Years of Service By Age

Charter Schools

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |
| Under 25 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| $25-29$ | 274 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302 |
| $30-34$ | 209 | 97 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 |
| $35-39$ | 118 | 61 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 |
| $40-44$ | 102 | 40 | 21 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| $45-49$ | 78 | 26 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| $50-54$ | 61 | 27 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| $55-59$ | 38 | 24 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| $60-64$ | 24 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| $65-69$ | 11 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| $70 \&$ Up | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 1,001 | 323 | 106 | 36 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,474 |

## Years of Service By Age

School District

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |
| Under 25 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 |
| $25-29$ | 361 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 384 |
| $30-34$ | 277 | 116 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| $35-39$ | 191 | 115 | 47 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| $40-44$ | 182 | 104 | 51 | 41 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 |
| $45-49$ | 148 | 83 | 52 | 61 | 78 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 431 |
| $50-54$ | 125 | 90 | 40 | 59 | 70 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 415 |
| $55-59$ | 98 | 101 | 52 | 36 | 49 | 17 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 378 |
| $60-64$ | 88 | 95 | 35 | 46 | 41 | 22 | 27 | 17 | 3 | 374 |
| $65-69$ | 29 | 40 | 14 | 20 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 158 |
| $70 \&$ Up | 8 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 52 |
| Total | 1,656 | 783 | 298 | 282 | 284 | 97 | 56 | 39 | 8 | 3,503 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.5 Distributions of Active Members

Years of Service By Age
Total

| Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $0-4$ | $5-9$ | $10-14$ | $15-19$ | $20-24$ | $25-29$ | $30-34$ | $35-39$ | $40+$ | Total |
| Under 25 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| $25-29$ | 635 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 686 |
| $30-34$ | 486 | 213 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 711 |
| $35-39$ | 309 | 176 | 69 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 570 |
| $40-44$ | 284 | 144 | 72 | 51 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 572 |
| $45-49$ | 226 | 109 | 69 | 70 | 80 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 |
| $50-54$ | 187 | 117 | 52 | 63 | 70 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 521 |
| $55-59$ | 137 | 125 | 59 | 43 | 51 | 18 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 459 |
| $60-64$ | 114 | 110 | 51 | 47 | 41 | 22 | 27 | 17 | 3 | 432 |
| $65-69$ | 40 | 45 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 15 | 4 | 181 |
| $70 \&$ Up | 8 | 17 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 54 |
| Total | 2,661 | 1,107 | 405 | 319 | 289 | 99 | 57 | 39 | 8 | 4,984 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.6 Distributions of Inactive Members

Deferred Vested and Nonvested

| Account <br> Balance | Vested | Non-Vested | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $0-1,000$ | 3 | 577 | 580 |
| $1,000-5,000$ | 2 | 1,334 | 1,336 |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 9 | 653 | 662 |
| $10,000-25,000$ | 269 | 271 | 540 |
| $25,000-50,000$ | 279 | 18 | 297 |
| $50,000-75,000$ | 99 |  | 4 |
| $75,000-100,000$ | 36 |  | 0 |
| $100,000+$ | 6 |  | 0 |

Retirees, Beneficiaries and Disabled

| Option | Service benefit | Disability benefit | Survivor benefit | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3,289 | 178 | 268 | 3,735 |
| 1 | 131 | 15 | 0 | 146 |
| 2 | 78 | 5 | 0 | 83 |
| 3 | 184 | 15 | 0 | 199 |
| 4 | 173 | 6 | 0 | 179 |
| 5 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| 6 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 18 |
| 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 3,893 | 225 | 268 | 4,386 |

Annual Benefit

| Option | Service <br> benefit | Disability <br> benefit | Survivor <br> benefit | All |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $84,998,462$ | $\$ 2,717,228$ | $\$ 3,355,674$ | $\$ 91,071,364$ |
| 1 | $2,323,179$ | 191,613 | 0 | $2,514,792$ |
| 2 | $1,911,138$ | 117,333 | 0 | $2,028,471$ |
| 3 | $3,946,435$ | 227,238 | 0 | $4,173,673$ |
| 4 | $4,639,911$ | 136,665 | 0 | $4,776,576$ |
| 5 | 543,686 | 9,911 | 0 | 553,597 |
| 6 | 262,947 | 48,317 | 0 | 311,264 |
| 7 | 72,357 | 0 | 0 | 72,357 |
|  | $\$ 3,448,305$ | $\$ 3,355,674$ | $\$ 105,502,094$ |  |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.7 Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries Added/Removed From Rolls

|  | Added to Payroll |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

## Interest

$7.5 \%$ per annum, which includes a $2.75 \%$ allowance for inflation.

## Participant account interest crediting rate

5.0\% per annum.

## Expenses

The rate of interest assumed is net of expenses.

## Mortality - Healthy Lives

Mortality tables issued by the SOA, the RP-2014 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (rolled back to 2006), projected fully generationally using projection scale MP-2015. The mortality assumption for Inactive participants receiving benefits is increased by $10 \%$ to account for the higher mortality experienced by the Plan. Rates are shown for pre-commencement in Table 1 and post-commencement in Table 2.

## Disability Mortality

RP-2014 Disabled Mortality Table (rolled back to 2006) for disabled retired Members, projected fully generationally using projection scale MP-2015. Rates are shown in Table 6.

## Withdrawal

Withdrawals are assumed to occur at rates based on actual experience of the retirement system. During the first five years of membership, withdrawals are assumed to occur at the following rates:

| Year of <br> Membership | Non-charter school <br> employees | Charter school <br> employees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | $25.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $20.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $20.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | $20.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | $15.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |

The rates used after the first five years of membership are shown in Table 3.

## Salary scale

Salaries are assumed to increase at the rate of $5.0 \%$ per year for the first 5 years of employment and $3.50 \%$ thereafter.

## Disability

Disabilities are assumed to occur at rates based on the actual experience of the retirement system. The rates used are shown in Table 5.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

## Retirement

Retirements occur at rates based on the actual experience of the retirement system. The age-related rates used are shown in Table 4. The rates are different for those eligible to retire under the Rule of 80 and those not eligible to retire under the Rule of 80 .

## Deferred Vested

The liability for deferred vested members with no benefit information provided in the employee data by the System is assumed to be $150 \%$ of the member's total accumulated contributions.

## Family Structure

The probability of a participant being married and the probable number of children are based on a table constructed by the Social Security Administration, modified to reflect the experience of the retirement system. The rates used are shown in Table 7. For married participants, husbands are assumed to be 3 years older than their wives.

## Gender

Members with no gender provided in the employee data by the System are assumed to be female.

## Usage of Cash-out Option

Participants terminating in vested status are given the option of taking a refund of their accumulated participant contributions instead of a deferred retirement benefit. Active members who terminate in the future with a vested benefit are assumed to take a deferred vested annuity, unless a refund of contributions and interest is greater than the actuarial present value of their vested deferred benefit.

## Future Benefit Increases or Additional Benefits

When funding is adequate, the Board may authorize cost of living adjustments (COLAs), as noted in the summary of plan provisions. This valuation assumes that no future COLAs will be awarded.

## Actuarial Method - Frozen Entry Age

The actuarial cost method used by the System is the "frozen entry age actuarial cost method." Under this method, on the initial actuarial valuation date for which the cost method is used, the annual cost accruals (individual normal costs for each participant) are determined as a level percentage of pay for each year from entry age until retirement or termination. The initial Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability (UFAAL) was originally determined as of January 1, 1981. Entry age is determined at the date each participant would have entered the System. The sum of these individual normal costs for all active participants whose attained ages are under the assumed retirement age is the normal cost for the initial plan year. The excess of all normal costs falling due prior to the initial actuarial valuation date, accumulated with interest, over the plan assets establishes the UFAAL.

The UFAAL is only frozen in that it is not adjusted due to experience gains and losses. Instead, gains and losses are reflected through changes in the normal cost accrual rate. The UFAAL does change, increasing due to interest and additional normal costs, and decreasing due to contributions. Any changes to plan provisions or actuarial assumptions results in a change to the UFAAL. The amount of the change is determined by computing the impact in the actuarial accrued liability as of the valuation date coincident with or next following the change.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Normal costs are calculated as the level percentage of pay required to fund the excess of the actuarial present value of future benefits over the sum of the actuarial value of current assets and the remaining UFAAL.

Effective January 1, 2006, UFAAL was reestablished to better reflect an appropriate relationship between the normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability.

The funding requirement for each plan year is the sum of the "normal cost contribution" (equal to the normal cost for that year), plus the "actuarial accrued liability contribution." The "actuarial accrued liability contribution" is the payment required to amortize the UFAAL over 30 years, from January 1, 2006, the date that it was reestablished.

## Valuation of Assets

The actuarial value of assets is determined using the assumed yield method of valuing assets. Under the assumed yield asset valuation method, the prior year's actuarial value is increased at the assumed rate of return with appropriate adjustments for contributions and disbursements to produce an expected actuarial value of assets at the end of the year. The expected actuarial value is compared to the market value of assets less the expense and contingency reserve, and $20 \%$ of the difference is added to the expected actuarial value. The actuarial value of assets was "fresh-started" as of January 1, 2006 and set equal to the market value of assets as of that date.

## Changes in Methods and Assumptions from the Prior Valuation

There were no method or assumption changes made since the prior valuation.
Effective August 28, 2017, legislation passed by the Missouri General Assembly and signed into law by then Governor Eric Greitens changed several of the System's Plan Provisions. A detailed description of these changes appears at the end of Section 3.2.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 1
Mortality Rates for Pre-Commencement
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate |  |  | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.190 | 0.116 | 60 | 2.959 | 3.365 |
| 21 | 0.203 | 0.113 | 61 | 3.369 | 3.668 |
| 22 | 0.215 | 0.114 | 62 | 3.704 | 3.986 |
| 23 | 0.233 | 0.119 | 63 | 4.180 | 4.314 |
| 24 | 0.251 | 0.126 | 64 | 4.540 | 4.648 |
| 25 | 0.275 | 0.134 | 65 | 4.892 | 4.983 |
| 26 | 0.314 | 0.147 | 66 | 5.398 | 5.314 |
| 27 | 0.327 | 0.153 | 67 | 5.731 | 5.636 |
| 28 | 0.336 | 0.162 | 68 | 5.858 | 5.945 |
| 29 | 0.353 | 0.171 | 69 | 6.143 | 6.240 |
| 30 | 0.380 | 0.193 | 70 | 6.210 | 6.517 |
| 31 | 0.427 | 0.239 | 71 | 7.026 | 7.108 |
| 32 | 0.481 | 0.273 | 72 | 8.658 | 8.290 |
| 33 | 0.540 | 0.298 | 73 | 11.106 | 10.064 |
| 34 | 0.601 | 0.319 | 74 | 14.369 | 12.429 |
| 35 | 0.662 | 0.337 | 75 | 18.448 | 15.385 |
| 36 | 0.720 | 0.354 | 76 | 23.343 | 18.932 |
| 37 | 0.774 | 0.369 | 77 | 29.054 | 23.071 |
| 38 | 0.800 | 0.386 | 78 | 35.581 | 27.801 |
| 39 | 0.821 | 0.406 | 79 | 42.924 | 33.122 |
| 40 | 0.841 | 0.442 | 80 | 51.083 | 39.034 |
| 41 | 0.863 | 0.484 | 81 | 58.516 | 43.204 |
| 42 | 0.890 | 0.533 | 82 | 66.910 | 47.896 |
| 43 | 0.922 | 0.586 | 83 | 74.584 | 53.181 |
| 44 | 0.961 | 0.644 | 84 | 84.893 | 59.146 |
| 45 | 1.005 | 0.682 | 85 | 94.233 | 67.435 |
| 46 | 1.044 | 0.719 | 86 | 104.477 | 76.970 |
| 47 | 1.085 | 0.755 | 87 | 118.458 | 87.853 |
| 48 | 1.128 | 0.817 | 88 | 134.192 | 97.854 |
| 49 | 1.172 | 0.883 | 89 | 148.298 | 111.198 |
| 50 | 1.217 | 0.985 | 90 | 167.257 | 122.890 |
| 51 | 1.262 | 1.100 | 91 | 182.177 | 134.949 |
| 52 | 1.309 | 1.271 | 92 | 202.142 | 147.094 |
| 53 | 1.401 | 1.468 | 93 | 218.060 | 162.763 |
| 54 | 1.503 | 1.700 | 94 | 233.954 | 174.573 |
| 55 | 1.671 | 1.969 | 95 | 255.453 | 185.756 |
| 56 | 1.883 | 2.287 | 96 | 271.129 | 196.137 |
| 57 | 2.132 | 2.577 | 97 | 286.358 | 210.344 |
| 58 | 2.424 | 2.817 | 98 | 308.123 | 218.852 |
| 59 | 2.677 | 3.081 | 99 | 322.695 | 226.123 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 2
Mortality Rates for Post-Commencement
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate |  |  | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.190 | 0.116 | 60 | 5.656 | 5.525 |
| 21 | 0.203 | 0.113 | 61 | 6.358 | 6.166 |
| 22 | 0.215 | 0.114 | 62 | 7.004 | 6.852 |
| 23 | 0.233 | 0.119 | 63 | 7.918 | 7.582 |
| 24 | 0.251 | 0.126 | 64 | 8.761 | 8.372 |
| 25 | 0.275 | 0.134 | 65 | 9.703 | 9.235 |
| 26 | 0.314 | 0.147 | 66 | 11.004 | 10.170 |
| 27 | 0.327 | 0.153 | 67 | 12.182 | 11.175 |
| 28 | 0.336 | 0.162 | 68 | 13.160 | 12.271 |
| 29 | 0.353 | 0.171 | 69 | 14.537 | 13.503 |
| 30 | 0.380 | 0.193 | 70 | 15.686 | 14.919 |
| 31 | 0.427 | 0.239 | 71 | 17.356 | 16.177 |
| 32 | 0.481 | 0.273 | 72 | 19.271 | 17.994 |
| 33 | 0.540 | 0.298 | 73 | 21.465 | 19.543 |
| 34 | 0.601 | 0.319 | 74 | 23.946 | 21.660 |
| 35 | 0.662 | 0.337 | 75 | 27.356 | 23.365 |
| 36 | 0.720 | 0.354 | 76 | 30.490 | 25.743 |
| 37 | 0.774 | 0.369 | 77 | 34.715 | 29.017 |
| 38 | 0.800 | 0.386 | 78 | 39.486 | 31.986 |
| 39 | 0.821 | 0.406 | 79 | 44.915 | 35.314 |
| 40 | 0.841 | 0.442 | 80 | 51.083 | 39.034 |
| 41 | 0.890 | 0.484 | 81 | 58.516 | 43.204 |
| 42 | 0.987 | 0.533 | 82 | 66.910 | 47.896 |
| 43 | 1.133 | 0.586 | 83 | 74.584 | 53.181 |
| 44 | 1.328 | 0.644 | 84 | 84.893 | 59.146 |
| 45 | 1.572 | 0.689 | 85 | 94.233 | 67.435 |
| 46 | 1.864 | 0.778 | 86 | 104.477 | 76.970 |
| 47 | 2.205 | 0.912 | 87 | 118.458 | 87.853 |
| 48 | 2.595 | 1.090 | 88 | 134.192 | 97.854 |
| 49 | 3.034 | 1.313 | 89 | 148.298 | 111.198 |
| 50 | 3.521 | 1.580 | 90 | 167.257 | 122.890 |
| 51 | 3.556 | 1.697 | 91 | 182.177 | 134.949 |
| 52 | 3.546 | 1.914 | 92 | 202.142 | 147.094 |
| 53 | 3.595 | 2.193 | 93 | 218.060 | 162.763 |
| 54 | 3.643 | 2.532 | 94 | 233.954 | 174.573 |
| 55 | 3.798 | 2.935 | 95 | 255.453 | 185.756 |
| 56 | 4.033 | 3.418 | 96 | 271.129 | 196.137 |
| 57 | 4.344 | 3.908 | 97 | 286.358 | 210.344 |
| 58 | 4.758 | 4.385 | 98 | 308.123 | 218.852 |
| 59 | 5.165 | 4.929 | 99 | 322.695 | 226.123 |

## Section 3 (continued)

3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 3
Withdrawal Rates
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate | Age | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 204.0 | 45 | 44.0 |
| 21 | 197.0 | 46 | 41.0 |
| 22 | 190.0 | 47 | 37.0 |
| 23 | 184.0 | 48 | 34.0 |
| 24 | 177.0 | 49 | 31.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 171.0 | 50 | 28.0 |
| 26 | 161.0 | 51 | 26.0 |
| 27 | 151.0 | 52 | 25.0 |
| 28 | 141.0 | 53 | 24.0 |
| 29 | 131.0 | 54 | 23.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 121.0 | 55 | 22.0 |
| 31 | 117.0 | 56 | 21.0 |
| 32 | 112.0 | 57 | 20.0 |
| 33 | 108.0 | 58 | 19.0 |
| 34 | 103.0 | 59 | 18.0 |
| 35 | 99.0 |  |  |
| 36 | 96.0 | 60 | 17.0 |
| 37 | 92.0 | 61 | 0.0 |
| 38 | 89.0 | 62 | 0.0 |
| 39 | 86.0 | 63 | 0.0 |
| 40 | 83.0 | 64 | 0.0 |
| 41 | 75.0 |  |  |
| 42 | 67.0 |  |  |
| 43 | 59.0 |  |  |
| 44 | 52.0 |  |  |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 4
Retirement Rates
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rule of 80 <br> Rate | Not Rule of 80 <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $50-51$ | 200.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| $52-59$ | 150.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 60 | 200.0 | 100.0 |
| 61 | 200.0 | 100.0 |
| 62 | 250.0 | 150.0 |
| 63 | 250.0 | 150.0 |
| 64 | 250.0 | 200.0 |
| 65 | 300.0 | 350.0 |
| 66 | 300.0 | 200.0 |
| 67 | 300.0 | 200.0 |
| 68 | 300.0 | 200.0 |
| 69 | 300.0 | 200.0 |
| 70 | 300.0 | 300.0 |
| 72 | $1,000.0$ | $1,000.0$ |

## Section 3 (continued)

3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 5
Disability Rates
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

|  | Rate |  |  |  | Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Males | Females | Age | Males | Females |
| 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 45 | 1.50 | 1.00 |
| 21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46 | 1.60 | 1.10 |
| 22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47 | 1.70 | 1.20 |
| 23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 48 | 1.80 | 1.30 |
| 24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 49 | 1.90 | 1.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50 | 2.00 | 1.50 |
| 26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 51 | 2.50 | 1.70 |
| 27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52 | 3.00 | 1.90 |
| 28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 53 | 3.50 | 2.10 |
| 29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54 | 4.00 | 2.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 55 | 4.50 | 2.50 |
| 31 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 56 | 4.70 | 2.60 |
| 32 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 57 | 4.90 | 2.75 |
| 33 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 58 | 5.10 | 2.85 |
| 34 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 59 | 5.30 | 3.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 60 | 5.50 | 3.25 |
| 36 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 61 | 6.00 | 3.50 |
| 37 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 62 | 6.50 | 3.50 |
| 38 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 63 | 7.00 | 3.50 |
| 39 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 64 | 7.50 | 3.50 |
| 40 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 65 |  |  |
| 41 | 1.95 | 0.80 | 0.85 |  |  |
| 42 | 1.25 | 0.90 |  |  |  |
| 43 | 1.40 | 0.95 |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 6
Post-Disability Mortality Rates
Annual Rates Per 1,000 Members

| Age | Rate |  |  | Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 60 | 42.042 | 21.839 |
| 21 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 61 | 43.474 | 22.936 |
| 22 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 62 | 44.981 | 24.080 |
| 23 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 63 | 46.584 | 25.293 |
| 24 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 64 | 48.307 | 26.600 |
| 25 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 65 | 50.174 | 28.026 |
| 26 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 66 | 52.213 | 29.594 |
| 27 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 67 | 54.450 | 31.325 |
| 28 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 68 | 56.909 | 33.234 |
| 29 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 69 | 59.613 | 35.335 |
| 30 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 70 | 62.583 | 37.635 |
| 31 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 71 | 65.841 | 40.140 |
| 32 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 72 | 69.405 | 42.851 |
| 33 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 73 | 73.292 | 45.769 |
| 34 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 74 | 77.512 | 48.895 |
| 35 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 75 | 82.067 | 52.230 |
| 36 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 76 | 86.951 | 55.777 |
| 37 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 77 | 92.149 | 59.545 |
| 38 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 78 | 97.640 | 63.545 |
| 39 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 79 | 103.392 | 67.793 |
| 40 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 80 | 109.372 | 72.312 |
| 41 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 81 | 115.544 | 77.135 |
| 42 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 82 | 121.877 | 82.298 |
| 43 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 83 | 128.343 | 87.838 |
| 44 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 84 | 134.923 | 93.794 |
| 45 | 22.571 | 7.450 | 85 | 141.603 | 100.203 |
| 46 | 23.847 | 8.184 | 86 | 148.374 | 107.099 |
| 47 | 25.124 | 8.959 | 87 | 155.235 | 114.512 |
| 48 | 26.404 | 9.775 | 88 | 162.186 | 122.464 |
| 49 | 27.687 | 10.634 | 89 | 169.233 | 130.972 |
| 50 | 28.975 | 11.535 | 90 | 183.408 | 140.049 |
| 51 | 30.268 | 12.477 | 91 | 199.769 | 149.698 |
| 52 | 31.563 | 13.456 | 92 | 216.605 | 159.924 |
| 53 | 32.859 | 14.465 | 93 | 233.662 | 170.433 |
| 54 | 34.152 | 15.497 | 94 | 250.693 | 182.799 |
| 55 | 35.442 | 16.544 | 95 | 267.491 | 194.509 |
| 56 | 36.732 | 17.598 | 96 | 283.905 | 205.379 |
| 57 | 38.026 | 18.654 | 97 | 299.852 | 215.240 |
| 58 | 39.334 | 19.710 | 98 | 315.296 | 223.941 |
| 59 | 40.668 | 20.768 | 99 | 330.207 | 231.387 |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 7
Family Structure

| Age |  | Age of youngest child | Average number of children | Probability of being married | Probability of children if married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 17 | 2 | . 90 | . 30 | . 50 |
| 21 | 18 | 2 | . 90 | . 35 | . 50 |
| 22 | 19 | 2 | . 98 | . 40 | . 50 |
| 23 | 20 | 2 | . 98 | . 46 | . 53 |
| 24 | 21 | 3 | 1.05 | . 53 | . 56 |
| 25 | 22 | 3 | 1.13 | . 60 | . 59 |
| 26 | 23 | 4 | 1.20 | . 67 | . 62 |
| 27 | 24 | 4 | 1.28 | . 74 | . 65 |
| 28 | 25 | 4 | 1.35 | . 76 | . 67 |
| 29 | 26 | 5 | 1.43 | . 78 | . 69 |
| 30 | 27 | 5 | 1.50 | . 80 | . 71 |
| 31 | 28 | 6 | 1.58 | . 82 | . 73 |
| 32 | 29 | 6 | 1.65 | . 84 | . 75 |
| 33 | 30 | 7 | 1.80 | . 85 | . 76 |
| 34 | 31 | 7 | 1.95 | . 86 | . 77 |
| 35 | 32 | 8 | 2.10 | . 87 | . 78 |
| 36 | 33 | 8 | 2.10 | . 87 | . 79 |
| 37 | 34 | 9 | 2.10 | . 87 | . 80 |
| 38 | 35 | 9 | 2.30 | . 87 | . 79 |
| 39 | 36 | 10 | 1.95 | . 87 | . 78 |
| 40 | 37 | 10 | 1.88 | . 87 | . 77 |
| 41 | 38 | 11 | 1.80 | . 87 | . 76 |
| 42 | 39 | 11 | 1.73 | . 87 | . 75 |
| 43 | 40 | 11 | 1.73 | . 87 | . 72 |
| 44 | 41 | 12 | 1.65 | . 87 | . 69 |
| 45 | 42 | 12 | 1.65 | . 86 | . 66 |
| 46 | 43 | 12 | 1.58 | . 86 | . 63 |
| 47 | 44 | 12 | 1.58 | . 86 | . 60 |
| 48 | 45 | 12 | 1.50 | . 85 | . 56 |
| 49 | 46 | 12 | 1.43 | . 85 | . 52 |
| 50 | 47 | 13 | 1.43 | . 85 | . 48 |
| 51 | 48 | 13 | 1.35 | . 85 | . 44 |
| 52 | 49 | 13 | 1.35 | . 85 | . 40 |
| 53 | 50 | 13 | 1.35 | . 85 | . 37 |
| 54 | 51 | 13 | 1.35 | . 84 | . 34 |

## Section 3 (continued)

3.8 Summary of Methods and Assumptions

Table 7
Family Structure
(continued)

| Age <br> Male <br> Female |  | Age of <br> youngest <br> child | Average number <br> of children | Probability of <br> being married | Probability <br> of children <br> if married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 52 | 13 | 1.28 | .84 | .31 |
| 56 | 53 | 13 | 1.28 | .83 | .28 |
| 57 | 54 | 13 | 1.28 | .83 | .25 |
| 58 | 55 | 13 | 1.28 | .83 | .23 |
| 59 | 56 | 13 | 1.20 | .82 | .21 |
| 60 | 57 | 13 | 1.20 | .81 | .19 |
| 61 | 58 | 13 | 1.20 | .80 | .17 |
| 62 | 59 | 13 | 1.20 | .79 | .15 |
| 63 | 60 | 13 | 1.20 | .78 | .13 |
| 64 | 61 | 13 | 1.20 | .77 | .11 |
| 65 | 62 | 13 | 1.13 | .76 | .09 |
| 66 | 63 | 13 | 1.13 | .75 | .07 |
| 67 | 64 | 13 | 1.13 | .73 | .05 |
| 68 | 65 | 13 | 1.13 | .72 | .04 |
| 69 | 66 | 13 | 1.05 | .71 | .03 |
| 70 | 67 | 13 | 1.05 | .70 | .02 |
| 71 | 68 | 13 | 1.05 | .01 |  |

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.9 Definition of Actuarial Terms

## Accrued benefit

The benefit earned by a participant as of the date at which the determination is made payable in the form of an annual benefit commencing at normal retirement age. The accrued benefit is payable for the member's lifetime only, however if the total monthly payments at the member's death are less than contributions accumulated with interest, the remaining employee contribution balance will be paid to the member's beneficiary.

## Accumulated plan benefits

The accrued benefits and any other benefits, whether vested or not, that have been earned by the participants covered by the plan as of the date at which the determination is made. These other benefits include any death, early retirement or disability benefits provided under the plan.

## Actuarial accrued liability

Equal to the actuarial present value of future benefits less the present value of future annual normal costs.

## Actuarial cost method

The method for allocating the actuarial present value of a pension plan's benefits and expenses to various time periods. An actuarial cost method is also referred to as a funding method.

## Actuarial gain/(loss)

The difference between the plan's actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial assumptions. A gain occurs when the experience of the plan is more favorable (in terms of cost) than the assumptions projected; a loss occurs when experience is less favorable. May also be referred to as experience gains/(losses).

## Actuarial present value

See present value.

## Actuarial valuation

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the annual normal cost, actuarial accrued liability, actuarial value of assets and related actuarial present values for a pension plan.

## Actuarial value of assets

The value of cash, investments and other property belonging to a pension plan determined by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation. Actuarial asset methods are generally designed to reduce fluctuations in asset value due to large variations in returns from year to year. Actuarial values are generally a smoothed market value that recognize gains and losses over time.

## Amortization

The spreading of a present value or a cost over a period of years. A plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is amortized over a period of years.

## Section 3 (continued)

### 3.9 Definition of Actuarial Terms

## Fiscal year

The year on which the plan sponsor maintains its financial records.

## Funded

Provided by plan assets. A liability is fully funded when assets exceed or equal the liability.

## Normal cost

That portion of the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the actuarial cost method.

## Normal retirement age

An age defined in the plan for purposes of establishing when a terminated participant is entitled to an accrued benefit.

## Normal retirement benefit

The benefit payable when it commences at the normal retirement age.

## Participant

A person covered by a pension plan in accordance with its terms including active participants, retired participants and beneficiaries, vested terminations and vested transfers.

## Plan year

The year on which the plan maintains its financial records.

## Present value

The value of an amount or series of amounts payable at various times, determined as of a given date by the application based on a particular set of actuarial assumptions. It is a single sum which reflects the time value of money and the probabilities of payment.

## Rate of return

The actual or expected investment income as a percentage of a plan's average assets.

## System

Public School Retirement System of the City of St. Louis, Missouri.

## Unfunded actuarial accrued liability

The excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the actuarial value of assets.

## Vested benefit

A benefit that is not forfeited if the participant terminates employment.

## Section 4 - ASOP 51 Disclosures

Funding future retirement benefits prior to when those benefits become due involves assumptions regarding future economic and demographic experience. These assumptions are applied to calculate actuarial liabilities, current contribution requirements and the funded status of the system. However, to the extent future experience deviates from the assumptions used, variations will occur in these calculated values. These variations create risk to the system. Understanding the risks to the funding of the system is important.
Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 51 ("ASOP 51") requires certain disclosures of potential risks to the system and provides useful information for intended users of actuarial reports that determine system contributions or evaluate the adequacy of specified contribution levels to support benefit provisions.

Under ASOP 51, risk is defined as the potential of actual future measurements deviating from expected future measurements resulting from actual future experience deviating from actuarially assumed experience.

It is important to note that not all risk is negative, but all risk should be understood and accepted based on knowledge, judgement and educated decisions. Future measurements may deviate in ways that produce positive or negative financial impacts to the system.

In the actuary's professional judgment, the following risks may reasonably be anticipated to significantly affect the system's future financial condition.

- Investment risk - potential that the investment return will be different than the $7.50 \%$ expected in the actuarial valuation
- Longevity risk - potential that participants live longer than expected from the valuation mortality assumptions
- Contribution risk - potential that the contribution will be different than the recommended contribution in the actuarial valuation

The following information is provided to comply with ASOP 51 and furnish beneficial information on potential risks to the system. This list is not all-inclusive; it is an attempt to identify the most significant risks and how those risks might affect the results shown in this report.

## Section 4 (continued)

Note that ASOP 51 does not require the actuary to evaluate the ability or willingness of the system sponsor to make contributions to the system when due, or to assess the likelihood or consequences of potential future changes in law. In addition, this valuation report is not intended to provide investment advice or to provide guidance on the management or reduction of risk. Buck welcomes the opportunity to assist in such matters as part of a separate project or projects utilizing the appropriate staff and resources for those objectives.

## Investment Risk

System costs are very sensitive to the market return. Any lower than assumed return on assets will increase costs:

- The lower market return will cause the market value of assets to be lower than expected.
- The plan uses an actuarial value of assets that adjusts the value by $20 \%$ of the excess of the market value of assets and the expected actuarial value as of the valuation date. This methodology helps to control some of the volatility in costs due to investment risk.
- Historical experience of market returns is shown in Section 2.4: Summary of Investment Yield Performance. This historical experience illustrates how returns can vary over time.


## Longevity Risk

System costs will be increased as participants are expected to live longer. This is because:

- Benefits are paid over a longer lifetime when life expectancy is expected to increase. The longer duration of payments leads to higher liabilities.
- Health care has been improving which increases the life expectancy of participants. As health care improves, costs to the system will increase.
- The mortality assumption for the System does assume future improvement in mortality. Any improvement in future mortality greater than that expected by the current mortality assumption would lead to increased costs for the System.


## Contribution Risk

There is a risk associated with the employer's contribution when the actual amount and recommended amount differ. This is because:

- When the actual contribution is lower than the recommended contribution the System may not be sustainable in the long term.
- Any underpayment of the contribution will increase future contribution amounts to help pay off the additional Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability associated with any lower than recommended contribution amounts.
- Because of the legislative changes made to the employer contribution amounts, this is a significant risk to the plan. The actuarially determined contribution in this valuation is $16.74 \%$ of covered payroll. However, the annual required contribution due to the changes is only $14.50 \%$ of covered payroll.


## Section 4 (continued)

## System Maturity Measures:

There are certain measures that may aid in understanding the significant risks to the system.

| Ratio of Retired Liability to Total Liability | January 1, 2018 | January 1, 2019 | January 1, 2020 | January 1, 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Retirees and Beneficiaries | 901,926,852 | 897,846,332 | 891,935,875 | 879,008,937 |
| 2. Total Accrued Liability | 1,411,197,070 | 1,414,382,087 | 1,423,729,232 | 1,397,928,681 |
| 3. Ratio [(1) / (2)] | 63.9\% | 63.5\% | 62.6\% | 62.9\% |

A mature system will often have a ratio above $60-65$ percent. A higher percentage will generally indicate an increased need for asset / liability matching.

| Ratio of Cash Flow to Assets | December 31, 2017 | December 31, 2018 | December 31, 2019 | December 31, 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Contributions | 53,668,896 | 63,029,522 | 60,922,391 | 59,429,613 |
| 2. Benefit Payments | 112,950,471 | 114,010,652 | 113,101,170 | 112,681,273 |
| 3. Cash Flow [(1) $-(2)]$ | $(59,281,575)$ | $(50,981,130)$ | $(52,178,779)$ | $(53,251,660)$ |
| 4. Market Value of Assets | 914,082,259 | 819,449,893 | 893,295,602 | 914,776,954 |
| 5. Ratio [(3) / (4)] | (6.49\%) | (6.22\%) | (5.84\%) | (5.82\%) |

## Section 4 (continued)

When this cash flow ratio is negative more cash is being paid out than deposited in the fund. Negative cash flow means the fund needs to rely on investment returns to cover benefit payments and at the same time may need to invest in more liquid assets to cover the benefit payments. More liquid assets may not garner the same returns as less liquid assets and therefore increase the investment risk. However, the low magnitude of the ratio implies there may already be enough liquid assets to cover the benefit payments, less investment return is needed to cover the shortfall, or only a small portion of assets will need to be converted to cash. Therefore, the investment risk is likely not amplified at this time. This maturity measure should be monitored for continual negative trend with greater magnitude.

| Contribution Volatility | January 1, <br> 2018 | January 1, <br> 2019 | January 1, <br> 2020 | January 1, <br> 2021 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Market Value of Assets | $914,082,259$ | $819,449,893$ | $893,295,602$ | $914,776,954$ |
| 2. Payroll | $265,773,659$ | $263,772,380$ | $272,973,377$ | $264,676,845$ |
| 3.Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR) <br> [(1)/(2)] <br> 4. Accrued Liability$\quad 3.44$ | 3.11 | 3.27 | 3.46 |  |
| 5. Liability Volatility Ratio <br> (LVR) $[(4) /(2)]$ | $5.411,197,070$ | $1,414,382,087$ | $1,423,729,232$ | $1,397,928,681$ |

Systems that have higher asset-to-payroll ratios experience more volatile employer contributions (as a percentage of payroll) due to investment return. For example, a system with an asset-to-payroll ratio of 10 may experience twice the contribution volatility due to investment return volatility than a system with an asset-to-payroll ratio of 5 . Systems that have higher liability-to-payroll ratios experience more volatile employer contributions (as a percentage of payroll) due to changes in liability. For example, if an assumption change increases the liability of two systems by the same percent the system with a liability-to-payroll ratio of 10 may experience twice the contribution volatility than a system with a liability-to-payroll ratio of 5 .

